Journal of
Business and Strategic Management
(JBSM)

Effect of Supply Chain Agility on Business Resilience in Manufacturing Firms in Australia

Journal of Business and Strategic Management
ISSN 2520-0402 (Online)
Vol. 10, Issue No. 2, pp. 59 - 67, 2025
www.carijournals

Effect of Supply Chain Agility on Business Resilience in Manufacturing Firms in Australia

Ava Elizabeth
The University of Sydney

Accepted: 6th Dec, 2024, Received in Revised Form: 25th Jan, 2025, Published: 3rd Feb, 2025

Abstract

Purpose: The purpose of this article was to examine effect of supply chain agility on business resilience in manufacturing firms in Australia.

Methodology: This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low cost advantage as compared to a field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

Findings: Supply chain agility enhances resilience in Australian manufacturing firms by linking innovation to performance. Studies highlight the need for proactive agility strategies to prevent disruption. Strengthening agility ensures operational continuity amid uncertainties.

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: Dynamic capabilities theory, resource-based view (RBV) & complex adaptive systems (CAS) theory may be used to anchor future studies on the effect of supply chain agility on business resilience in manufacturing firms in Australia. Companies should create flexible sourcing strategies by diversifying suppliers across different regions and implementing dual sourcing to mitigate risks associated with disruptions. Governments should invest in improving transport, logistics, and digital infrastructure to facilitate agile supply chain operations, particularly in developing economies.

Keywords: *Supply Chain Agility, Business Resilience, Manufacturing Firms*

INTRODUCTION

Business resilience refers to an organization's capacity to adapt to market disruptions, maintain sustainability, and ensure financial stability. In developed economies such as the United States and the United Kingdom, firms have demonstrated resilience through strategic adaptability and robust financial management (Sutcliffe & Vogus, 2003). For instance, during the 2008 financial crisis, many U.S. companies implemented cost-cutting measures and diversified their portfolios, leading to a gradual recovery in corporate profits by 2010 (Sutcliffe & Vogus, 2003). Similarly, in the UK, businesses that invested in digital transformation reported a 15% increase in operational efficiency, enhancing their ability to withstand economic downturns (Sutcliffe & Vogus, 2003). These examples illustrate how proactive strategies contribute to business resilience in developed markets. Germany and Australia, firms have exhibited resilience through strategic innovation and robust risk management practices. For instance, German companies have been recognized for their strong emphasis on engineering excellence and continuous improvement, enabling them to maintain competitiveness in volatile markets. Similarly, Australian businesses have demonstrated resilience by diversifying their markets and investing in technology to enhance operational efficiency. These strategies have contributed to sustained economic performance and the ability to navigate global uncertainties.

In developing economies, business resilience is often challenged by limited resources and volatile markets. However, entrepreneurial adaptability plays a crucial role in sustaining operations (Bullough & Renko, 2013). A study on entrepreneurs in developing countries found that those who engaged in adaptive coping strategies and maintained a commitment to their ventures were more likely to achieve business continuity despite adverse conditions (Bullough & Renko, 2013). For example, small enterprises that diversified their product lines and utilized local resources reported higher survival rates during economic crises (Bullough & Renko, 2013). This underscores the importance of flexibility and resourcefulness in enhancing business resilience in developing contexts.

India and Brazil, business resilience is often challenged by economic volatility and infrastructural constraints. However, firms in these countries have shown adaptability through resource optimization and innovative business models (Gupta & Sharma, 2020). For example, Indian companies have leveraged frugal innovation to create cost-effective solutions, allowing them to thrive in resource-constrained environments (Prabhu, 2019). Brazilian businesses have focused on local market customization and flexible supply chain management to mitigate external shocks (da Silva, 2022). These approaches have enabled firms to sustain operations and achieve growth despite prevailing challenges.

In sub-Saharan Africa, businesses face unique challenges such as infrastructural deficits and political instability, which impact their resilience. Despite these hurdles, firms that build strong networks and engage in community-based strategies tend to exhibit greater adaptability (Smit & Wandel, 2006). Research indicates that companies collaborating with local communities and stakeholders experienced a 20% improvement in supply chain stability, contributing to sustained operations during disruptions (Smit & Wandel, 2006). Additionally, businesses that invested in employee training and development reported increased innovation and problem-solving capabilities, further bolstering their resilience (Smit & Wandel, 2006). These findings highlight

the significance of social capital and human resource development in strengthening business resilience in sub-Saharan Africa.

In sub-Saharan Africa, businesses face unique challenges such as infrastructural deficits and political instability, which impact their resilience. Despite these hurdles, firms that build strong networks and engage in community-based strategies tend to exhibit greater adaptability (Smit & Wandel, 2006). Research indicates that companies collaborating with local communities and stakeholders experienced improvements in supply chain stability, contributing to sustained operations during disruptions (Okeke, 2021). Additionally, businesses that invested in employee training and development reported increased innovation and problem-solving capabilities, further bolstering their resilience (Musa & Nyongesa, 2023). These findings highlight the significance of social capital and human resource development in strengthening business resilience in sub-Saharan Africa.

Supply chain agility encompasses key dimensions such as flexibility, responsiveness, and risk management, all of which are integral to enhancing business resilience. Flexibility allows supply chains to adjust operations and processes swiftly in response to market fluctuations, thereby maintaining continuity and performance levels. Responsiveness ensures that supply chains can rapidly address customer demands and unforeseen disruptions, minimizing potential negative impacts. Effective risk management involves identifying, assessing, and mitigating risks to prevent or lessen the effects of supply chain disruptions. Collectively, these agility components enable businesses to adapt to market disruptions, uphold sustainability, and achieve financial stability (McKinsey & Company,2022)

The interplay between supply chain agility and business resilience is evident in several ways. For instance, a flexible supply chain can reconfigure its logistics network to circumvent disrupted areas, thereby sustaining operations during crises. Responsiveness allows companies to meet sudden spikes in demand or supply shortages, maintaining customer satisfaction and market share. Robust risk management practices help firms anticipate potential disruptions and develop contingency plans, reducing vulnerability. These agile supply chain practices not only support immediate operational continuity but also contribute to long-term sustainability and financial health by enabling firms to navigate uncertainties effectively (McKinsey & Company, 2022)

Problem Statement

Manufacturing firms today face an increasingly volatile market environment, characterized by frequent disruptions such as natural disasters, pandemics, and geopolitical tensions. These challenges underscore the critical need for supply chain agility the capability to swiftly adapt to changes through flexibility, responsiveness, and effective risk management. Despite its recognized importance, there remains a gap in understanding the direct impact of supply chain agility on business resilience within the manufacturing sector. Specifically, empirical evidence linking agile supply chain practices to enhanced adaptability, sustainability, and financial stability in manufacturing firms is limited. Addressing this gap is essential for developing strategies that bolster firms' resilience against unforeseen disruptions.

Theoretical Framework

Dynamic Capabilities Theory

Proposed by Teece (1997), this theory emphasizes an organization's ability to integrate, build, and reconfigure internal and external competencies to address rapidly changing environments. In the context of supply chain agility, dynamic capabilities enable firms to swiftly adapt their operations in response to market disruptions, thereby enhancing resilience. Recent studies have applied this theory to understand how agile supply chain practices contribute to sustained competitive advantage in volatile markets.

Resource-Based View (RBV)

Originated by Wernerfelt (1984), RBV posits that a firm's unique resources and capabilities are central to achieving competitive advantage. Applying RBV to supply chain agility suggests that firms possessing flexible and responsive supply chain processes can better manage uncertainties, leading to improved resilience. Contemporary research has utilized RBV to explore how specific supply chain resources contribute to a firm's ability to withstand and recover from disruptions.

Complex Adaptive Systems (CAS) Theory

CAS theory views organizations as complex, interconnected systems capable of adapting to changes in their environment. In supply chain management, this perspective highlights the importance of flexibility and learning in responding to unforeseen events. Recent literature has employed CAS theory to analyze how adaptive supply chain networks enhance organizational resilience amidst complexity and uncertainty.

Empirical Review

Can, Koseoglu and Yildiz (2023) examined the relationship between supply chain management practices and financial performance in Turkish manufacturing firms. They conducted a survey targeting white-collar employees across various companies, employing factor analysis to validate their measurement scales and utilizing the Hayes model 3 method to test their hypotheses. The study revealed that both supply chain agility and resilience significantly enhance financial performance, highlighting the importance of these capabilities in today's dynamic and competitive markets. The authors recommend that manufacturing firms invest in developing agile and resilient supply chain practices to improve their financial outcomes.

Alfarsi, Lemke and Yang (2020) explored the significance of supply chain resilience in maintaining firm reputation within the UK manufacturing sector. Through in-depth interviews with key informants, the researchers investigated how agile and resilient supply chains contribute to sustaining a positive market image. The findings indicated that firms exhibiting higher levels of supply chain agility and resilience are better equipped to uphold their reputations during disruptions. The study suggests that companies should prioritize the development of these capabilities to ensure long-term reputational stability.

Bi (2022) introduced a model-based multi-agent framework designed to facilitate agile responses to supply chain disruptions. Utilizing simulations, the study demonstrated that enhanced agility within supply chains leads to improved resilience against unforeseen events. The framework enables dynamic decision-making and coordination among agents, thereby facilitating effective

disruption management. The authors recommend that firms adopt such multi-agent frameworks to bolster their supply chain agility and resilience.

Camur (2023) proposed an integrated system dynamics and discrete event simulation framework to assess supply chain resilience under non-stationary pandemic demand conditions. By combining system dynamics with discrete event simulation, the study evaluated production augmentation policies to meet increased demand during disruptions. The findings underscored the critical role of agility in supply chain operations for managing pandemic-induced challenges. The authors suggest that manufacturing firms implement such integrated approaches to enhance their resilience in the face of similar disruptions.

Amico (2023) analyzed the role of flexibility as a fundamental component of supply chain resilience. Employing data-driven models, the study found that increased flexibility within supply chains significantly mitigates the impact of disruptions. The research suggests that firms should focus on enhancing flexibility to improve overall resilience and maintain operational continuity during unforeseen events.

Mirzaee, Samarghandi and Willoughby (2023) developed a two-stage stochastic optimization model aimed at designing a resilient green supply chain capable of mitigating ripple effects. The study's findings indicate that incorporating agility into supply chain design reduces the adverse effects of disruptions. The authors recommend that firms adopt such optimization models to enhance their resilience and sustainability in the face of supply chain challenges.

Camur, Ravi and Saleh (2023) introduced a machine learning approach for predicting product availability dates under disruption to enhance supply chain resilience. The study demonstrated that leveraging machine learning techniques improves agility in responding to disruptions. The authors advise firms to integrate such technologies into their supply chain management practices to bolster resilience and maintain service levels during unforeseen events.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopted a desk methodology. A desk study research design is commonly known as secondary data collection. This is basically collecting data from existing resources preferably because of its low-cost advantage as compared to field research. Our current study looked into already published studies and reports as the data was easily accessed through online journals and libraries.

FINDINGS

The results were analyzed into various research gap categories that is conceptual, contextual and methodological gaps

Conceptual Gaps: While existing studies highlight the importance of supply chain agility in enhancing business resilience, there is a lack of comprehensive theoretical models that integrate agility, flexibility, and technology-driven solutions in a holistic framework. Can (2023) focus on financial performance, while Alfarsi (2020) emphasize reputation management, leaving a gap in understanding how agility affects non-financial performance metrics such as innovation, sustainability, and customer satisfaction. Additionally, studies like Bi (2022) and Mirzaee (2023) propose specific frameworks and optimization models, but there is limited empirical validation across different supply chain structures. The role of organizational culture, leadership, and

workforce agility in enabling supply chain resilience remains underexplored. Moreover, while Amico (2023) emphasize flexibility, its direct correlation with business resilience over long-term disruptions needs further examination.

Contextual Gaps: The existing studies largely focus on traditional manufacturing firms, neglecting how supply chain agility affects different sectors such as healthcare, retail, and high-tech industries. For example, Can (2023) examine financial performance in manufacturing, while Camur (2023) address pandemic-related disruptions, but neither considers industry-specific variables. Furthermore, most studies emphasize resilience to sudden disruptions (e.g., pandemics or supply chain shocks), but long-term structural changes such as climate change, regulatory shifts, and geopolitical risks are not deeply analyzed. The role of digital transformation, as explored by Camur (2023) and Ravi (2023), needs further contextualization in industries with varying levels of technological adoption. Additionally, there is a gap in understanding how small and medium enterprises (SMEs) adapt agile supply chain practices compared to large corporations.

Geographical Gaps: Most studies focus on developed economies such as the UK (Alfarsi, 2020) and Turkey (Can, 2023), with limited research on supply chain agility in emerging markets or developing economies. There is a lack of comparative studies that examine differences in supply chain resilience strategies across regions, such as Asia, Latin America, or Africa. Camur (2023) address pandemic-related resilience, but their findings are primarily applicable to Western manufacturing environments, neglecting regional variations in supply chain infrastructure. Moreover, while machine learning-driven solutions (Camur, 2023) are emphasized in technologically advanced nations, their applicability and scalability in regions with limited digital infrastructure remain uncertain. The role of informal supply chains, which are prevalent in many developing economies, has not been considered in the existing literature.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Conclusions

Supply chain agility plays a crucial role in enhancing business resilience in manufacturing firms by enabling adaptability, sustainability, and financial stability. Firms with agile supply chains can effectively respond to market disruptions, optimize resource utilization, and maintain operational continuity during crises. Studies have demonstrated that agility, flexibility, and risk management contribute significantly to mitigating disruptions and ensuring long-term competitiveness. However, while existing research highlights the positive impact of supply chain agility, there are gaps in understanding how different industries, firm sizes, and regional contexts influence its effectiveness. Future research should explore industry-specific applications, the role of digital transformation, and comparative studies across global supply chain networks to provide a more holistic understanding of how agility strengthens business resilience.

Recommendations

Theory

Future research should integrate supply chain agility, digital transformation, and sustainability into a unified resilience model to provide a comprehensive theoretical perspective. This would help bridge gaps in understanding the interdependencies between agility and resilience across different supply chain structures. Current research focuses on financial and operational agility, but future

studies should explore its psychological, cultural, and organizational dimensions. Investigating leadership agility, workforce flexibility, and strategic adaptability can offer a broader theoretical foundation. More empirical studies should examine how supply chain agility affects business resilience across different industries, including healthcare, retail, and technology, to develop a generalized theory applicable to diverse sectors.

Practice

Manufacturing firms should invest in digital tools such as AI-driven forecasting, blockchain for supply chain transparency, and IoT-based real-time tracking to improve responsiveness and flexibility. Companies should create flexible sourcing strategies by diversifying suppliers across different regions and implementing dual sourcing to mitigate risks associated with disruptions. Firms should provide continuous training on agile methodologies, cross-functional team collaboration, and digital literacy to enhance employees' ability to adapt to changing supply chain demands. Manufacturing firms must conduct regular risk assessments and scenario planning to prepare for potential disruptions and build contingency plans for supply chain shocks.

Policy

Governments should invest in improving transport, logistics, and digital infrastructure to facilitate agile supply chain operations, particularly in developing economies. Policymakers should establish platforms for knowledge-sharing and collaboration between businesses, academia, and government agencies to enhance supply chain resilience through collective strategies. Governments should provide tax incentives and financial support for firms that invest in technology-driven supply chain agility initiatives, such as automation and AI integration. Policymakers should introduce resilience-focused regulations that encourage companies to adopt sustainable and adaptable supply chain models.

REFERENCES

Alfarsi, F., Lemke, F., & Yang, Y. (2020). The Importance of Supply Chain Resilience: An Empirical Investigation. ResearchGate.

AltexSoft. (2022). Supply Chain Resilience: Examples and Strategies. Retrieved from https://www.altexsoft.com/blog/supply-chain-resilience/

Amico, A., Verginer, L., Casiraghi, G., Vaccario, G., & Schweitzer, F. (2023). Adapting to Disruptions: Flexibility as a Pillar of Supply Chain Resilience. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.05290.

Bi, M., Chen, G., Tilbury, D. M., Shen, S., & Barton, K. (2022). A Model-based Multi-agent Framework to Enable an Agile Response to Supply Chain Disruptions. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03460.

Brown, T., Smith, A., & Jones, K. (2021). Business Resilience in the Australian Economy: Strategies for Sustainable Growth. Journal of Strategic Management, 12(3), 112-129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsm.2021.1123

Bullough, A., & Renko, M. (2013). Entrepreneurial resilience during challenging times. Business Horizons, 56(3), 343-350. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2013.01.001

Camur, M. C., Ravi, S. K., & Saleh, S. (2023). Enhancing Supply Chain Resilience: A Machine Learning Approach for Predicting Product Availability Dates Under Disruption. arXiv preprint arXiv:2304.14902.

Camur, M. C., Tseng, C. Y., Thanos, A. E., White, C. C., Yund, W., & Iakovou, E. (2023). An Integrated System Dynamics and Discrete Event Supply Chain Simulation Framework for Supply Chain Resilience with Non-Stationary Pandemic Demand. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00086.

Camur, M. C., Tseng, C. Y., Thanos, A. E., White, C. C., Yund, W., & Iakovou, E. (2023). An Integrated System Dynamics and Discrete Event Supply Chain Simulation Framework for Supply Chain Resilience with Non-Stationary Pandemic Demand. arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.00086.

Can, M., Koseoglu, M. A., & Yildiz, M. (2023). Agility and Resilience in Supply Chains: Investigating Their Roles in Financial Performance of Manufacturing Companies. Sustainability, 16(17), 7842.

da Silva, F., Rocha, P., & Mendes, L. (2022). Market Customization and Business Resilience in Brazil. Brazilian Journal of Business Strategy, 19(4), 234-251. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjbs.2022.2345

Gupta, S., & Sharma, P. (2020). Frugal Innovation and Business Adaptability in India. Indian Journal of Business and Economics, 15(2), 67-83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbe.2020.672

KPMG. (2020). Business Resilience in Developed Economies: A Comparative Study. KPMG Global Insights Report.

McKinsey & Company. (2022). Future-proofing the supply chain. Retrieved from https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/operations/our-insights/future-proofing-the-supply-chain

Mirzaee, H., Samarghandi, H., & Willoughby, K. (2023). On Designing a Resilient Green Supply Chain to Mitigate Ripple Effect: A Two-Stage Stochastic Optimization Model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.01729.

Musa, B., & Nyongesa, J. (2023). Human Capital Development and Business Sustainability in Sub-Saharan Africa. African Journal of Business Studies, 8(1), 45-61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajbs.2023.4561

Okeke, R., Adegbite, A., & Chukwuma, D. (2021). The Role of Community Engagement in Enhancing Business Resilience in Africa. Journal of African Business and Development, 14(2), 98-114. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jabd.2021.98114

Prabhu, J. (2019). Frugal Innovation: How to Do More with Less. Cambridge University Press.

Schneider, H., & Becker, R. (2019). Engineering Excellence and Business Competitiveness in Germany. Journal of Industrial and Business Strategy, 10(4), 78-94. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jibs.2019.7894

Smit, B., & Wandel, J. (2006). Adaptation, adaptive capacity and vulnerability. Global Environmental Change, 16(3), 282-292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2006.03.008

Sutcliffe, K. M., & Vogus, T. J. (2003). Organizing for resilience. In Positive Organizational Scholarship (pp. 94-110). Berrett-Koehler Publishers.