**New Optimal AH Method for Solving Transportation Problems** 



ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



# New Optimal AH Method for Solving Transportation Problems

# D<sup>1\*</sup> Huzoor Bux Kalhoro, <sup>2</sup> Prof. Dr. Abdul Sattar Soomro, <sup>3</sup> Dr. Reem

Mahmoud Ahmed, <sup>4</sup> Kashif Shaikh

<sup>1\*</sup> PhD Scholar, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan,

<sup>2</sup> Professor, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Sindh, Jamshoro,

Crossref

Sindh, Pakistan,

<sup>4</sup> M.Phil Scholar, Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Sindh,

Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan,

https://orcid.org/0009-0001-3558-9929

Accepted: 11th Feb 2025 Received in Revised Form: 11th Mar 2025 Published: 11th Apr 2025

#### Abstract

The main purpose of the paper is to develop a direct, new optimal method to minimize the total transportation cost from origin to destination by meeting supply and demand limits. Hundreds of transportation problems from different research papers have been solved by a new proposed optimal method. Its results are compared with the well-known and established techniques, especially the Steeping Stone method (1954) and the Modified distribution (MODI) method (1989), and it is found that all results obtained by the proposed method are optimal. In this paper, we have proposed a new optimal method, called the AH method for solving transportation problems. In the proposed method to find the optimal solution. Whenever the Steeping Stone method and the MODI method depend upon an initial feasible solution because both are not direct methods. A software package based on Java script programming designed by Html, CSS and Bootstrap was developed for new optimal AH method. Hundreds of balanced and unbalanced problems of different sizes solved from different research papers by using the software that provided optimal results.

**Keywords:** *Transportation problems, Initial Basic Feasible Solution (IBFS) methods, MODI and Stepping Stone methods, New AH optimal Method, Cost comparison and verification.* 

International Journal of Supply Chain and Logistics ISSN 2520-3983 (Online) Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



# 1. INTRODUCTION

Transportation problems (TP) are a crucial component of operations research, focusing on the optimal distribution of resources from multiple suppliers to various consumers while minimizing costs. TP is a unique variant of the Linear Programming Problem (LPP) mainly used for reducing transportation costs. The initial substantive study on this issue was carried out by Hitchcock in 1941, titled as, "The Distribution of a Product from Several Sources to Numerous Localities". (Hitchcock et al., 1941)[10]. This was the inaugural formal contribution to transportation-related problems. Gleyzal (1955)[9] the transportation problem is called for any pioneer application including several product resources and several activities or destinations of products. The method deals with applications of problems involving transporting goods or products from several sources to several destinations that is why it named transportation problem. Although the model can be used to distribution and transportation problems as well as represent more general scheduling and assignment problems. Maximize the profit of transport m unities to n destinations or minimize the cost of transport m unities to n destinations are the two collective objectives of such problems. The TP has two stages for obtaining the optimal solution. The Initial Basic Feasible Solution (IBFS) is the first stage, which aims to find an initial solution with minimal total cost. These methods include North West Corner Method (NWCM) (Charnes et al., 1953) [5] developed. It takes Very first cell (North West Cost cell) in the transportation table and allocates minimum supply demand (S, D) to that cell and calculates total cost. Later, another IBFS method Least Cost Method (LCM) developed (Dantzig et al., 1963) [7]. It takes minimum cell in the Transportation table and allocates minimum supply demand (S, D) to that cell and calculates total cost. After that some of the well-received heuristic methods were developed, including the Vogel's Approximation Method (VAM), which penalizes inconsistency by measuring the difference between the lowest and second lowest costs in each row and column of a transportation matrix, assigning the least cost cell the highest penalty (Reinfeld & Vogel, 1958) [23]. Improved form of ATCM (Malvia et al., 2018) [19] introduced. It finds penalty of each row and column which is equal to the average of two minimum costs in the row/column. Takes maximum penalty and uses min:(S, D) to calculate total cost. It provides IBFS. Direct approach method (FCM) was composed. It Subtracts largest cell cost from the sum of two smallest cell costs. Then takes maximum cost with row or column, uses min:(S, D) and finds total cost, it provides IBFS (Mohanta et al., 2019) [20]. The second stage involves finding the optimal solution.

Mainly there are two types of the methods for solving transportation problems.

#### I. Initial basic feasible solution methods

- North West Corner method [5].
- Allocation Table method [4].

# ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

- ABC method [1].
- Average Total Opportunity Cost method [3].
- Least cost method [7].
- Lowest Supply and Demand Method [11].
- ICVM method [15].
- RICM method [16].
- Direct Sum Method [18].
- Palsu's Favorable Cost Method [20].
- TOCM-MT method [21].
- A revised version of asm-method [22].
- Vogel's approximation method [23].
- An alternate method to matrix minima method [25] etc.

# **II.** Optimal methods

- Modified Distribution Method (MODI) [2].
- Stepping Stone method [6].

In every research journal or in the text books of operations research mathematicians have used different methods to solve the transportation problems in two stages.

- In the first stage, the initial basic feasible solution (IBFS) was obtained by any available methods.
- In the second stage, once an initial feasible solution is obtained, the Modified Distribution Method (MODI) can be applied to optimize it further, refining allocations to minimize costs effectively.

In this paper, a new proposed method finds a direct optimal solution without finding an initial basic feasible solution through many numerical examples.

# 2. MATHEMATICAL MODEL FOR TRANSPORTATION PROBLEMS

Let  $X_{ij \ge} 0$  be the quantity shipped from the source i to the destination j. The mathematical formulation of the problem is as follows:

Minimize  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{ij} X_{ij}$  ( Total transportation cost )

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

Subject to 
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} X_{ij} = a_i$$
 (Supply from sources),  $i = 1,2,3,...,m$  and  $j = 1,2,3,...,n$   
 $\sum_{i=1}^{m} X_{ij} = b_j$  (Demand from destination),  $i = 1,2,3,...,m$  and  $j = 1,2,3,...n$ 

Where,

- Z: Total transportation cost to be minimized.
- C<sub>ij</sub>: Unit transportation cost of the commodity from each source i to destination j.
- $X_{ij:}$  Number of units of commodity sent from source i to destination j and  $X_{ij} \ge 0$  for all i and j.
- $a_i$ : the commodity available at each source i.
- b<sub>j:</sub> the commodity required on demand at each destination j.

Note: Transportation model is balanced if,

Supply 
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i\right) = Demand \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i\right).$$

Otherwise, unbalanced if,

Supply 
$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\right) \neq Demand\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_{i}\right).$$

The total number of variables is mxn. The total number of constraints is m+n, while the total number of allocations (m+n-1) should be in feasible solution. Here the letter m denotes the number of rows and n denotes the number of columns.

#### 2.1 THE PROPOSED METHOD

#### New Optimal AH Method for Solving Transportation Problems.

The idea of this method is taken from the Stepping Stone method which was developed in 1954 by A. Charnes a renowned mathematician [6]. The new AH optimal method provides direct optimal solution of the transportation problems. It is based on selecting least cost cell in each row and each column by allocating min: (S,D) to the selected cell/cells simultaneously where ever possible on the basis of first come first serve and delete entire row/column and calculate total transportation cost. This method obtains the optimal solution without finding an IBFS.

#### Algorithm.

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



**Step 1**. In a balanced model select least cost cell in each row and each column, allocate min: (S,D) to the selected cell/cells simultaneously where ever possible on the basis of first come first serve and delete entire row/column.

**Step 2**. If there are more than two selected cells in associated row and column then allocate min:(S,D) to the cell which contains minimum cost and delete entire row or column. Continue the process until the condition m+n-1 is satisfied for the occupied cells, where m is the number of rows and n is the number of columns. Calculate the total cost.

Step 3. Now take all unoccupied cells one by one and draw a loop that makes a right angle at occupied cells and returns back at original cell. Add the transportation cost of the cells of the traced path by using (+) and (-) signs alternatively. If net cost of the path of each unoccupied cell is  $\geq 0$ , stop; the current solution is optimal, otherwise go to step 4.

**Step 4**. If net cost of the path of any cell/cells is < 0, that becomes occupied cell and the cell/cells in this path with minimum allocation at negative slot is/are now unoccupied. Hence the condition m+n-1 is satisfied for the occupied cells. Now take original model mark all the occupied cells, apply minimum (S,D) allocation to each one by one and calculate total minimum cost. Repeat the steps 3 and 4 until the solution is optimal.

# **2.2 NUMERICAL EXAMPLES**

A software package based on Java script programming designed by Html, CSS and Bootstrap was developed for new optimal AH method (see fig.1, page no. 11). Using Software, we have solved hundreds of numerical examples of different sizes balanced and unbalanced problems taken from several research journals and results were obtained optimal. These research journals are mentioned in the reference section. Two examples are solved, one by using software (see figures: 1, 2a, 2b, 2c, 3a and 3b) and other example solved manually stepwise (see tables 1to 9-page no. 6 to 10) by using optimal AH method as follows:

Example. Using New Optimal AH Method to solve the following transportation problem.

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$\mathbf{S}_1$	3	3	5	9
$S_2$	6	5	4	8
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6	10	7	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27

Table 1.

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

Solution: - Using algorithm (see section 2.1, page no. 5)

## Table 2.

	<b>D</b> 1	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> 3	Supply
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	3	3	5	9
$S_2$	6	5	4	8
$S_3$	6	10	7	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27

**Step 1**. In a balanced model select number of least cost cells from each row, each column and allocate min: (S,D) to the selected cell/cells simultaneously where ever possible on the basis of first come first serve and delete entire row/column.

So here selected least cells in each row and column are, C11= 3, C12=3, C23=4 and C31=6.

	<b>D</b> 1	<b>D</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	Supply
$\mathbf{S}_1$	3	3	5	9
$\mathbf{S}_2$	6	5	<b>4(8)</b>	8
S <sub>3</sub>	6	10	7	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27
Table 4.				
	<b>D</b> 1	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> 3	Supply
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	3	3(9)	5	9
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6	10	7	10
Demand	7	`12	0	27

Table

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



**Step 2**. If there are more than two selected cells in associated row and column then allocate min:(S,D) to the cell which contains minimum cost and delete entire row or column. So here  $C_{11}(3)$  and  $C_{12}(3)$  are the selected cell which contain minimum cost. Take  $C_{12}(3)$  for allocation. Table 5.

	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_3$	6(7)	10(3)	7(0)	10
Demand	7	`3	0	27

Finally we have single row so allocate min:(S,D) to all cell cost and final table is:

Table (	б.
---------	----

	<b>D</b> 1	<b>D</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	Supply
$\mathbf{S}_1$	3	3(9)	5	9
$S_2$	6	5	4(8)	8
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6(7)	10(3)	7(0)	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27

To check Optimality condition we use, step 3.

**Step 3**. Now take all unoccupied cells one by one and draw a loop that makes a right angle at occupied cells and returns back at original cell. Add the cost of the cells of the traced path by using (+) and (-) signs alternatively. If net cost of the path of each unoccupied cell is  $\geq 0$ , stop; the current solution is optimal, otherwise go to step 4.

To check whether the solution is optimal or not we take all unoccupied cells from above table.

These are C<sub>11</sub> (3), C<sub>13</sub> (5), C<sub>21</sub> (6) and C<sub>22</sub> (5)

- 1. Path for C<sub>11</sub> (3):
- $C_{11} \longrightarrow C_{12} \longrightarrow C_{32} \longrightarrow C_{31} = 3 3 + 10 6 = 4 \ge 0$
- 2. Path for C<sub>13</sub> (5):

 $C_{13} \longrightarrow C_{12} \longrightarrow C_{32} \longrightarrow C_{33} = 5 \cdot 3 + 10 \cdot 7 = 5 \ge 0$ 

3. Path for C<sub>21</sub> (6):

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

 $C_{21} \longrightarrow C_{23} \longrightarrow C_{33} \longrightarrow C_{31} = 6-4+7-6 = 3 \ge 0$ 

4. Path for C<sub>22</sub> (5):

 $C_{22} \longrightarrow C_{23} \longrightarrow C_{33} \longrightarrow C_{32} = 5 - 4 + 7 - 10 = -2 < 0$ 

Since path of one of the cell is < 0 so solution is not optimal, so go to step 4.

**Step 4**. If net cost of the path of any cell/cells is < 0, that becomes occupied cell and the cell/cells in this path with minimum allocation at negative slot is/are now unoccupied. Hence the condition m+n-1 is satisfied for the occupied cells. Now take original model mark all the occupied cells, apply minimum (S,D) allocation to each one by one and calculate total minimum cost. Repeat the steps 3 and 4 until the solution is optimal.

Here cell  $C_{22}(5)$  has negative path so that becomes occupied cell and the cell in this path  $C_{32}(10)$  at negative slot with minimum allocation 3 is now unoccupied. So new table after marking is:

	<b>D</b> 1	<b>D</b> 2	<b>D</b> 3	Supply
<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	3	3	5	9
$S_2$	6	5	4	8
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6	10	7	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27

Table 7.

Again use **step 1**, allocate min:(S,D) where there is possible and we get new table as following Table 8.

	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	D3	Supply
$S_1$	3	3(9)	5	9
$S_2$	6	5(3)	4(5)	8
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	6(7)	10	7(3)	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27

To check Optimality condition we use, step 3.



ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



Step 3. Now take all unoccupied cells one by one and draw a loop that makes a right angle at occupied cells and returns back at original cell. Add the transportation cost of the cells of the traced path by using (+) and (-) signs alternatively. If net cost of the path of each unoccupied cell is  $\geq 0$ , stop; the current solution is optimal, otherwise go to step 4.

To check whether the solution is optimal or not we take all unoccupied cells from above table.

These are C<sub>11</sub> (3), C<sub>13</sub> (5), C<sub>21</sub> (6) and C<sub>32</sub> (10),

1. Path for C<sub>11</sub> (3):

 $C_{11} \longrightarrow C_{12} \longrightarrow C_{22} \longrightarrow C_{23} \longrightarrow C_{33} \longrightarrow C_{31} = 3 \cdot 3 + 5 \cdot 4 + 7 \cdot 6 = 2 \ge 0$ 

2. Path for C<sub>13</sub> (5):

 $C_{13} \longrightarrow C_{12} \longrightarrow C_{22} \longrightarrow C_{23} = 5 - 3 + 5 - 4 = 3 \ge 0$ 

3. Path for C<sub>21</sub> (6):

$$C_{21} \longrightarrow C_{23} \longrightarrow C_{33} \longrightarrow C_{31} = 6-4+7-6=3 \ge 0$$

4. Path for C<sub>32</sub> (10):

$$C_{32} \longrightarrow C_{33} \longrightarrow C_{23} \longrightarrow C_{22} = 10-7+4-5 = 2 \ge 0.$$

Since the path of all unoccupied cells are  $\geq 0$ , so the above solution is Optimal. Final table is: Table 9.

	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
S <sub>1</sub>	3	3(9)	5	9
$S_2$	6	5(3)	4(5)	8
S <sub>3</sub>	<b>6(7</b> )	10	7(3)	10
Demand	7	`12	8	27

Hence the total cost,

Z = 3(9)+5(3)+4(5)+6(7)+7(3) = 27+15+20+42+21 = 125, which is an Optimal Solution.

#### **2.3 FIGURES**

Fig. 1

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



New Optimal AH Me	thod Solving Transportation
Problem	
Enter No Of Row:	3
Enter No Of Column	1: 3
Create Matrix	
	Solve

#### **Computational Procedure of Transportation Model**

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$D_2$	$\mathbf{D}_3$	Supply
S1	10	4	11	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5	8	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7	6
Demand	8	10	12	30

**Fig. 2(a)** 

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



Proccess

#### Itration 1.

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	D <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10	4	11	14
$S_2$	12	5	8	10
S <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7	6
Demand	8	10	12	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10	4	11	14
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	9	6	7	6
Demand	8	0	12	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	Supply
$S_1$	10	11	14
S <sub>2</sub>	9	7	6
Demand	8	12	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10 (8)	4 (0)	11 (6)	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5 (10)	8	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7 (6)	6
Demand	8	10	12	
Total	238			

Fig.2(b)

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



Itration 2.

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10	4	11	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5	8	10
S <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7	6
Demand	8	10	12	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	D <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10	4	11	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5	8	10
Demand	8	10	6	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	D <sub>2</sub>	Supply
S <sub>1</sub>	10	4	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5	4
Demand	8	10	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10 (8)	4 (6)	11	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5 (4)	8 (6)	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7 (6)	6
Demand	8	10	12	
Total	214			

**Fig. 2(c)** 

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



Iteration 3.

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10	4	11	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5	8	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7	6
Demand	8	10	12	

	D1	D2	Supply
S <sub>1</sub>	10	11	4
$S_2$	12	8	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	7	6
Demand	8	12	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	D <sub>2</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	12	8	10
S <sub>2</sub>	9	7	6
Demand	4	12	

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	$\mathbf{D}_2$	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10 (4)	4 (10)	11	14
$S_2$	12	5	8 (10)	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9 (4)	6	7 (2)	6
Demand	8	10	12	
Total	210			

**Fig. 3(a)** 

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

Result

#### **Itration 1**

	<b>D</b> <sub>1</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10 (8)	4 (0)	11 (6)	14
S <sub>2</sub>	12	5 (10)	8	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7 (6)	6
Demand	8	10	12	
Total	238			

 $C_{21}(12) \rightarrow C_{22}(5) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow 12-5+4-10 = 1$ 

 $C_{23}(8) \rightarrow C_{22}(5) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow C_{13}(11) \rightarrow 8-5+4-11 = -4$ 

 $C_{31}(9) \rightarrow C_{33}(7) \rightarrow C_{13}(11) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow 9-7+11-10 = 3$ 

 $C_{32}(6) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow C_{13}(11) \rightarrow C_{33}(7) \rightarrow 6-4+11-7 = 6$ 

#### Itration 2

	<b>D</b> 1	$\mathbf{D}_2$	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply			
$S_1$	10 (8)	4 (6)	11	14			
$S_2$	12	5 (4)	8 (6)	10			
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9	6	7 (6)	6			
Demand	8	10	12				
Total	214						

 $C_{13}(11) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow C_{22}(5) \rightarrow C_{23}(8) \rightarrow 11-4+5-8 = 4$   $C_{21}(12) \rightarrow C_{22}(5) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow 12-5+4-10 = 1$  $C_{31}(9) \rightarrow C_{33}(7) \rightarrow C_{23}(8) \rightarrow C_{22}(5) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow 9-7+8-5+4-10 = -1$ 

 $C_{32}$  (6)-> $C_{22}$  (5)->  $C_{23}$  (8)-> $C_{33}$  (7)-> 6-5+8-7 = 2

Fig.3(b)

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

Itration 3

	$\mathbf{D}_1$	<b>D</b> <sub>2</sub>	<b>D</b> <sub>3</sub>	Supply
$S_1$	10 (4)	4 (10)	11	14
<b>S</b> <sub>2</sub>	12	5	8 (10)	10
<b>S</b> <sub>3</sub>	9 (4)	6	7 (2)	6
Demand	8	10	12	
Total	210		÷2	-5

$$C_{13}(11) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow C_{31}(9) \rightarrow C_{33}(7) \rightarrow 11-10+9-7 = 3$$
  
 $C_{21}(12) \rightarrow C_{23}(8) \rightarrow C_{33}(7) \rightarrow C_{31}(9) \rightarrow 12-8+7-9 = 2$ 

 $C_{22}(5) \rightarrow C_{23}(8) \rightarrow C_{33}(7) \rightarrow C_{31}(9) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow 5-8+7-9+10-4 = 1$ 

 $C_{32}(6) \rightarrow C_{31}(9) \rightarrow C_{11}(10) \rightarrow C_{12}(4) \rightarrow 6-9+10-4 = 3$ 

**Solution is Optimal** 

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

In this section, we present the results of applying the newly direct AH optimal method to find the minimized transportation cost for 12 balanced and unbalanced transportation problems (see comparison table no. **10**). The performance of AH method compared with two existing optimal methods: stepping stone method (SSM) and modified distribution method (MODI). Thus the suggested methodology provides optimal solutions for balanced and unbalanced transportation problems, requiring fewer iterations. This means fewer calculations, saving significant time. Our comparative assessment reveals that this proposed method delivers a superior outcome in contrast to other existing heuristic optimal methods. Clearly the results of this study show that the AH approach is an efficient and an effective method for minimizing transportation costs, and it consistently outperforms the above said traditional optimal methods in terms of number of iterations and time consumption.

Moreover, the AH approach was found to be highly efficient and able to find a solution much faster than other methods, even for large supply chains. This is due to the fact that the AH approach considers the maximum range of each supplier, allowing for greater flexibility in the allocation of shipments.

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

# 4. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

**Comparison Table 10.** 

Problems	Size	NWCM	LCM	VAM	MODI	AH method
1.	4x4	484	484	476	412	412
2.	3x4	1015	1015	779	743	743
3.	5x5	68969	68969	68804	59356	59356
4.	3x5	143	143	91	80	80
5.	3x4	670	670	650	610	610
6.	3x4	3680	3680	3520	3460	3460
7.	4x3	102	102	80	76	76
8.	3x4	726	726	542	506	506
9.	3x4	273	273	204	200	200
10.	3x3	160	160	150	148	148
11.	4x4	486	486	225	180	180
12.	3x5	308	308	175	172	172

# 5. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we proposed a new direct AH optimal method to find minimized transportation cost for balanced and unbalanced transportation problems. A software package based on Java script programming developed for AH method. Hundreds of balanced and unbalanced problems of different sizes solved by using the software which provided optimal solutions. We compared proposed method with two existing optimal methods, namely the stepping stone method and the MODI method, and showed that AH optimal method is a direct method that consumes less time and contains fewer steps than the other methods. We also tested proposed method on particular 12 different problems taken from research journals and proved that it always yields an optimal solution that matches the solutions obtained by the other optimal methods (see comparison table no. **10**). Proposed method has important applications in real life, such as logistics, supply chain management, network design, etc., where minimizing transportation cost is a crucial objective.

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)

Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025



For future work, we suggest to extend new optimal method to handle more complex transportation problems, such as those involving multiple modes of transportation, stochastic demand and supply, or environmental factors. We conclude that new method AH is a simple, efficient, and reliable way to solve transportation problems and optimize transportation cost.

# 6. STATEMENTS AND DECLARATIONS

# Funding

None of the authors received any funding for this research work.

- The authors did not receive support from any organization for the submitted work.
- No funding was received to assist with the preparation of this manuscript.
- No funding was received for conducting this study.
- No funds, grants, or other support was received.

#### Availability of data

My manuscript has no associated data.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Institute of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Sindh, Jamshoro, Sindh, Pakistan, for continuing to support academic achievement and this research.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare there is no competing interests (conflicts of interest).

#### **Authors contributions**

Authors contributions included

#### 7. ETHICS DECLARATIONS

#### Ethical approval and consent to participate

Not Applicable

#### 8. REFRENCES

[1] Abdelati, M.H. (2024). A New Approach for Finding an Initial Basic Feasible Solution to a Transportation Problem, *Journal of Advanced Engineering Trends*. Volume 43(1)

[2] Arsham, H. (1989). A simplified MODI method for solving transportation problems *European Journal of Operational Research*. 43(2). pp 160-164

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

[3] Azad, SMAK; Hossain, B. & Rehman, Md. M. (2017). An algorithmic approach to solve transportation problems with the average total opportunity cost method, *Int. J. Scientific Res. Pub.* 7(2). pp 1-3

[4] Baloch, N; Shaikh, A.A; Shaikh, W.A Qureshi, S. (2022). Balanced TP with an Alternative Methodology for the Allocation Table Method, *Journal of Statistics, Computing and Interdisciplinary Research.* 4(1). pp 99-111

[5] Charnes, A; Cooper, W.W. & Henderson, A. (1953). An Introduction to Linear Programming. John Wiley & Sons, New York.

[6] Charnes, A. & Cooper, W.W. (1954). The stepping-stone method of explaining linear programming calculations in transportation problems Management Science. 1(1). pp 49-69

[7] Dantzig, G.B. (1963). Linear programming & extensions. Princeton U. Press, Princeton, N.J

[8] Dhurai, K. & Karpagam, A. (2017). To Obtain Initial Basic Feasible Solution Physical Distribution Problems *Global Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*. 13(9). pp 4671-4676

[9] Gleyzal, A. (1955). An algorithm for solving the transportation problem *Journal of Research National Bureau of Standards*. (54). pp 213-216

[10] Hitchcock, F.L. (1941). The Distribution of a Product from Several Sources to Numerous Localities *Journal of Mathematics and Physics*. 20. pp 224-230. https://doi.org/10.1002/sapm1941201224

[11] Hossain, M.M; Islam, M.D. & Mamun, A.A. (2024). Lowest Supply and Demand Method to Find Basic Feasible Solution of Transportation Problems *International Journal of Computer Applications*. Volume 186(20). pp 0975-8887

[12] Hussein, H.A & Shikar, M.A.K. (2020). A Modification to Vogel's Approximation Method *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. 1591

[13] Islam, M.D.A; Haque, M.D.M. & Sharif Uddin, M.d. (2012). Extremum Difference Formula on Total Opportunity Cost: A Transportation Cost Minimization Technique *Prime University Journal*. 6(2). pp 125-130

[14] Jamali, A.R.M.U; Janat, F. & Akhtar, P. (2017). Weighted cost opportunity-based algorithm for IBFS: A new approach in TP *J. Engineering science*. 08(1). pp 63-70

[15] Jude, O; Ifeanyichukwu, O.B; Ihuoma, I.A. & Akpos, E.P. (2017). A new and efficient proposed approach to find initial basic feasible solution of a transportation problem. *America journal of applied mathematics and statistics*. 5(2). pp 54-61

ISSN 2520-3983 (Online)



Vol. 9, Issue No.3, pp 74 - 92, 2025

[16] Kavitha, M; Srinivasan, N. & Seethalakahmy, A. (2018). A new approach to solve initial basic feasible solution for the transportation problem. *Int. J. Engineering and management research*. 8(3). pp 46-48

[17] Korukoglu, S. & Balli, S. (2011). An improved Vogel's approximation method for the Computational Applications. 16(2). pp 370-381

[18] Kumar, R; Gupta, R. & Karthiyayini, O. (2018). A new approach to find the initial basic feasible solution of a transportation problem. *Int. J. Research Granthaalayah*. 6(5). pp 321-325

[19] Malviya, P. & Jain, S. (2018). Modified form of average transportation cost method (ATCM)- an efficient method for finding an initial basic feasible solution for transportation problem. *Int. J. Mathematics trends and technology (IJMTT)*. 59(1). pp 1-3

[20] Mohanta, S.K. (2019). An optimal solution for transportation problem: direct approach. *J. Science*. 34. pp 49-62

[21] Patro, S.K. (2023). An efficient method to obtain IBFS of a TP. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*. 5(4)

[22] Quddoos, A; Javaid, S. & Khalid, M.M (2016). A revised version of asm-method for solving TP. *Int. J. Agriculture statistical science*. 2(1). pp 267-272

[23] Reinfeld, N.V. & Vogel, W.R. (1958). Mathematical Programming. *Englewood Cliffs. NJ: Prentice-Hall* 

[24] Sharif-uddin, M.D; Khan, A.R; Kibria, C.G. & Raeva, I. (2016). Improved least cost method to obtain a better IBFS to the TP. *J. Applied mathematics & bioinformatics*. 6(2). pp 1-20

[25] Shenofer, A.H. (2017). An alternate method to matrix minima method of solving transportation problem. *Int. J. Pure and applied mathematics*. 117(21). pp 489-495



©2025 by the Authors. This Article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)