International Journal of
Livestock Policy
(IJLP)

Policy Approaches to Combat Livestock Theft and Enhance Security

CARI Journals

International Journal of Livestock Policy
ISSN: 2957-4382 (online)
Vol. 3, Issue No. 1, pp 43 - 54, 2024
www.carijournals.org

Policy Approaches to Combat Livestock Theft and Enhance Security

[1*]Maina Stephen
Machakos University

Accepted: 14th April, 2024, Received in Revised Form: 9th May, 2024, Published: 3rd June, 2024

Abstract

Purpose: This study sought to analyze policy approaches to combat livestock theft and enhance security.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to policy approaches to combat livestock theft and enhance security. Preliminary empirical review revealed that a multi-faceted approach is essential to effectively address livestock theft. It emphasized the importance of integrating legislative measures, technological innovations, community-based initiatives, and enhanced law enforcement efforts. Technologies like GPS tracking and RFID tags were found effective but required financial support for widespread adoption. Community involvement and education were highlighted as critical for local surveillance and theft prevention. The study also underscored the need for robust legislative frameworks and international cooperation to deter theft and ensure consistent enforcement

Unique Contribution to Theory, Practice and Policy: The Routine Activity Theory, Rational Choice Theory and Situational Crime Prevention Theory may be used to anchor future studies on policy approaches on combating livestock theft and enhance security. The study concluded that an integrated approach, including advanced security technologies, community involvement, and robust legislative frameworks, was essential for effectively reducing livestock theft. It highlighted the need for financial incentives to help farmers adopt GPS tracking and RFID tags, expanded community-based initiatives like Farm Watch, and stricter penalties for theft. The study also emphasized the importance of international cooperation for standardizing livestock identification practices and supporting cross-border law enforcement efforts. These recommendations aimed to enhance livestock security, mitigate financial losses, and improve community resilience

Keywords: *Livestock Theft, Livestock Security, Legislative Measures, Advanced Security Technologies, GPS Tracking, RFID Tags*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Livestock theft, also known as rustling, is a significant issue affecting agricultural communities worldwide. This crime involves the illegal taking of animals such as cattle, sheep, goats, and horses, often resulting in substantial economic losses for farmers and ranchers. Livestock theft not only undermines the livelihoods of individuals but also poses broader social and economic challenges. The issue is complex, with thieves often operating in organized networks, making it difficult for authorities to apprehend and prosecute offenders (Smith & Williamson, 2017). Effective policy approaches are essential to combat livestock theft and enhance security in rural areas. In the United States, livestock theft remains a prevalent problem, particularly in states with large agricultural sectors like Texas, Oklahoma, and California. According to the Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association (TSCRA), cattle theft accounted for over $5 million in losses in 2019 alone (TSCRA, 2019). Efforts to combat this crime have included the use of modern technology such as GPS tracking, microchipping, and advanced surveillance systems. Additionally, the establishment of specialized livestock theft task forces and enhanced legal frameworks has improved enforcement and prosecution. For example, the TSCRA's Special Rangers, who are law enforcement officers dedicated to investigating agricultural crimes, have been instrumental in reducing livestock theft in Texas (TSCRA, 2019).

In the United Kingdom, livestock theft has seen a notable increase in recent years. According to the National Farmers Union (NFU), the cost of rural crime, including livestock theft, reached £54 million in 2019, with livestock theft alone accounting for £3 million (NFU, 2020). The UK has implemented various measures to address this issue, including the use of advanced security technologies and the establishment of rural crime units within police forces. The NFU has also advocated for stronger penalties and better reporting systems to deter potential thieves. Furthermore, community-based initiatives such as Farm Watch schemes have proven effective in promoting vigilance and cooperation among farmers (NFU, 2020).

Japan, with its unique agricultural landscape, also faces challenges related to livestock theft, though the scale may differ from that in Western countries. Livestock theft in Japan is relatively low compared to the USA and UK, but it has been on the rise, particularly in rural areas. The Japanese government has implemented stringent measures to combat this crime, including the use of RFID (Radio Frequency Identification) tags and bolstered patrols in agricultural regions. In 2018, Japan recorded an increase in livestock theft, prompting the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries to strengthen security measures and collaborate with local police to enhance monitoring and enforcement (Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, 2018).

Brazil, one of the world's largest beef producers, faces significant challenges with livestock theft, particularly in rural and remote areas. The Brazilian Association of Beef Exporters (ABIEC) reported that livestock theft resulted in losses of approximately $200 million in 2018 (ABIEC, 2018). The Brazilian government has responded by increasing the presence of law enforcement in rural areas and encouraging the use of technology such as drones and electronic tagging to monitor livestock movements. Additionally, community-based programs and cooperatives have been established to improve communication and collective security among farmers (ABIEC, 2018).

In African countries, livestock theft is often intertwined with broader issues of poverty, conflict, and law enforcement challenges. For instance, in Kenya and South Africa, livestock theft has severe economic and social impacts. In Kenya, the National Police Service reported that livestock theft accounted for significant losses, with incidents often linked to cattle raiding by rival communities (Kenya National Police Service, 2019). Efforts to combat livestock theft in Africa include traditional methods such as community policing and modern approaches like the use of mobile technology for real-time reporting and tracking. Programs aimed at fostering cooperation between communities and

law enforcement agencies have also been implemented to reduce theft and improve security (Kenya National Police Service, 2019).

The economic impact of livestock theft is substantial. In the USA, it is estimated that the annual losses due to livestock theft and related crimes exceed $50 million (USDA, 2018). These losses not only affect the immediate victims but also have a ripple effect on the broader agricultural economy, leading to increased insurance premiums and reduced investment in livestock farming. The psychological impact on farmers, who may feel violated and insecure, further exacerbates the problem. Effective policy measures are essential to mitigate these impacts and ensure the sustainability of livestock farming (Smith & Williamson, 2017).

Technological advancements have played a crucial role in addressing livestock theft. Innovations such as microchipping, GPS tracking, and blockchain technology for traceability have been increasingly adopted to secure livestock. For example, blockchain technology allows for the creation of an immutable ledger that records the ownership and movement of livestock, making it difficult for thieves to sell stolen animals. These technologies enhance the ability to trace and recover stolen livestock, thereby acting as a deterrent to potential thieves. However, the adoption of such technologies requires significant investment and training, which may be a barrier for smaller or resource-constrained farmers (Kamilaris, Fonts & Prenafeta-Boldú, 2019).

Policy approaches to combat livestock theft also emphasize the importance of community involvement and education. Programs such as Farm Watch in the UK and community policing initiatives in Africa encourage farmers to be vigilant and cooperate with law enforcement. Educational campaigns that raise awareness about livestock theft and promote best practices for security can also play a vital role in prevention. Engaging local communities in these efforts ensures that policies are more effectively implemented and that there is a collective effort to address the issue (NFU, 2020; Kenya National Police Service, 2019). The role of legislation and law enforcement cannot be understated. Strong legal frameworks that impose severe penalties for livestock theft, combined with dedicated enforcement units, are essential components of an effective strategy to combat this crime. For instance, in the USA, states like Texas have enacted laws that classify livestock theft as a felony, ensuring that offenders face significant consequences (TSCRA, 2019). Similar legislative measures have been recommended in other countries to deter criminals and ensure that livestock farmers are protected under the law (NFU, 2020).

Policy approaches refer to the strategic frameworks and methodologies adopted by governments, organizations, and institutions to address specific issues and achieve desired outcomes. In the context of livestock theft and security, these approaches encompass a range of legislative measures, technological innovations, community-based initiatives, and law enforcement strategies designed to prevent theft, recover stolen animals, and ensure the safety and security of livestock (Smith & Williamson, 2017). Effective policy approaches require a comprehensive understanding of the underlying causes of livestock theft and the development of targeted interventions that address these issues at multiple levels. One of the primary policy approaches to combat livestock theft is the enactment of robust legislative measures. These laws are designed to provide a legal framework for the prosecution and punishment of livestock thieves. In the United States, states like Texas have enacted laws that classify livestock theft as a felony, ensuring that offenders face severe penalties (TSCRA, 2019). Such legislative measures serve as a strong deterrent to potential criminals and provide law enforcement with the necessary tools to prosecute offenders effectively. Additionally, laws that mandate the registration and identification of livestock help in tracking and recovering stolen animals.

Technological advancements play a crucial role in enhancing livestock security and combating theft. Innovations such as microchipping, GPS tracking, and blockchain technology for traceability have been increasingly adopted to secure livestock (Kamilaris et al., 2019). These technologies enable real-time monitoring and tracking of livestock, making it difficult for thieves to steal and sell animals. For instance, blockchain technology allows for the creation of an immutable ledger that records the ownership and movement of livestock, providing a transparent and tamper-proof record that can be used to verify the legitimacy of livestock transactions (Kamilaris et al., 2019). Community involvement is a critical component of effective policy approaches to livestock theft and security. Programs such as Farm Watch in the United Kingdom and community policing initiatives in African countries encourage farmers to be vigilant and cooperate with law enforcement (NFU, 2020; Kenya National Police Service, 2019). These initiatives foster a sense of collective responsibility and enhance the capacity of communities to prevent and respond to livestock theft. Community-based approaches also facilitate the sharing of information and best practices, which can help in the early detection and prevention of theft.

The establishment of specialized law enforcement units dedicated to addressing livestock theft is another essential policy approach. These units are equipped with the expertise and resources needed to investigate and prosecute livestock theft cases effectively. In Texas, the Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association (TSCRA) has Special Rangers who focus exclusively on agricultural crimes, including livestock theft (TSCRA, 2019). These specialized units work closely with local law enforcement agencies and leverage their knowledge of agricultural practices to combat livestock theft more effectively. Raising public awareness and educating farmers about livestock theft and security measures are crucial elements of effective policy approaches. Educational campaigns that highlight the importance of livestock security and provide practical tips for preventing theft can significantly reduce the incidence of livestock theft. For example, the National Farmers Union (NFU) in the United Kingdom conducts regular awareness campaigns to educate farmers about the latest security technologies and best practices for safeguarding their livestock (NFU, 2020). Such initiatives help to create a culture of vigilance and proactive security among livestock owners.

Collaboration between various stakeholders, including government agencies, industry associations, and local communities, is essential for developing and implementing effective policy approaches. Partnerships between law enforcement agencies and agricultural organizations can enhance the capacity to address livestock theft through shared resources and expertise. For instance, the collaboration between the TSCRA and local law enforcement agencies in the United States has been instrumental in reducing livestock theft and improving the recovery of stolen animals (TSCRA, 2019). These partnerships facilitate coordinated efforts and ensure that policies are effectively implemented. Providing economic incentives and support to farmers can also be an effective policy approach to enhancing livestock security. Subsidies for security technologies such as GPS tracking devices and microchips can encourage farmers to adopt these measures and improve the overall security of their livestock. Additionally, insurance schemes that cover losses due to livestock theft can provide financial protection to farmers and mitigate the economic impact of theft. Such policies not only enhance the resilience of farmers but also create a financial deterrent for thieves.

Investing in research and development is crucial for advancing the understanding of livestock theft and developing innovative solutions to combat it. Research can provide valuable insights into the patterns and drivers of livestock theft, which can inform the development of targeted policy interventions. For example, studies that analyze the socio-economic factors contributing to livestock theft can help in designing policies that address the root causes of the issue (Smith & Williamson, 2017). Additionally, research into new security technologies and practices can lead to the development of more effective tools for preventing theft. Given the global nature of livestock trade and theft,

international cooperation is essential for addressing this issue. Policies that promote cross-border collaboration and information sharing can enhance the ability to track and recover stolen livestock. International frameworks and agreements that standardize livestock identification and traceability practices can also help in preventing theft and ensuring the security of livestock across borders. For instance, initiatives like the Global Animal Partnership provide a platform for international cooperation and the sharing of best practices in livestock security (Kamilaris et al., 2019).

Effective policy approaches require continuous evaluation and adaptation. Monitoring the implementation and impact of livestock security policies can provide valuable feedback that can be used to refine and improve these policies. Regular evaluations can identify gaps and challenges in existing policies and suggest modifications to enhance their effectiveness. Policymakers should adopt a flexible approach that allows for the adaptation of policies based on new information and changing circumstances (Smith & Williamson, 2017). This iterative process ensures that policies remain relevant and effective in addressing the evolving challenges of livestock theft and security.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Livestock theft remains a significant challenge for agricultural communities worldwide, causing substantial economic losses and undermining the livelihoods of farmers and ranchers. In the United States alone, livestock theft costs farmers over $50 million annually, a figure that underscores the magnitude of this issue (USDA, 2018). Despite various measures to curb this crime, including legislative efforts, technological advancements, and community-based initiatives, livestock theft continues to pose a persistent threat. This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of current policy approaches in combating livestock theft and enhancing security, identifying gaps and proposing comprehensive strategies to mitigate this issue. The problem is compounded by the lack of standardized practices across different regions and the evolving methods used by thieves, necessitating an in-depth analysis of both traditional and innovative policy measures (Smith & Williamson, 2017).

One of the primary gaps in existing research is the limited understanding of how integrated policy approaches that combine legislative, technological, and community-based strategies can more effectively address livestock theft. While there are studies focusing on individual measures, such as the impact of GPS tracking or community policing, there is a need for a holistic examination of how these measures can work synergistically. Additionally, the role of economic incentives and international cooperation in enhancing livestock security is underexplored. This study aims to fill these gaps by providing a comprehensive analysis of various policy approaches and their combined impact on reducing livestock theft. By doing so, it seeks to develop a framework that can be adapted to different contexts and regions, offering policymakers a robust set of tools to combat this crime more effectively (Kamilaris et al., 2019).

The findings of this study will benefit a wide range of stakeholders, including farmers, law enforcement agencies, policymakers, and agricultural organizations. Farmers will gain insights into the most effective security measures and how they can implement them to protect their livestock. Law enforcement agencies will benefit from a deeper understanding of the best practices in preventing and investigating livestock theft, enabling them to allocate resources more efficiently and enhance their operational strategies. Policymakers will be equipped with evidence-based recommendations to develop more comprehensive and integrated policies, ensuring that legislative and technological measures are effectively supported by community involvement and international cooperation. Agricultural organizations will also benefit from a clearer understanding of how to support their members in adopting these measures, fostering a more secure and resilient agricultural sector (NFU, 2020).

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Routine Activity Theory

Routine Activity Theory, originated by criminologists Lawrence E. Cohen and Marcus Felson in 1979, provides a valuable framework for understanding the conditions that facilitate criminal activities such as livestock theft. The theory posits that for a crime to occur, three elements must converge: a motivated offender, a suitable target, and the absence of a capable guardian (Cohen & Felson, 1979). This theory is particularly relevant to the study of livestock theft as it highlights the importance of situational factors in crime prevention. By applying Routine Activity Theory, researchers can analyze how different policy approaches—such as increased patrolling, community vigilance programs, and technological interventions like GPS tracking—can serve as capable guardians that deter motivated offenders from targeting livestock. This approach underscores the need for a multifaceted strategy that not only addresses the motivations behind livestock theft but also enhances the protective measures around potential targets (livestock) to prevent the occurrence of the crime.

2.1.2 Rational Choice Theory

Rational Choice Theory, developed by economist Gary Becker in 1968 and further elaborated by sociologists and criminologists, suggests that individuals engage in criminal activities after a rational consideration of the costs and benefits. According to this theory, potential offenders will choose to commit a crime if they believe the benefits outweigh the risks and costs involved (Becker, 1968). This theoretical framework is highly relevant to the study of livestock theft as it provides insights into the decision-making processes of thieves. By understanding the rational calculations that lead to livestock theft, policymakers can design interventions that increase the perceived risks and costs associated with this crime. For instance, stringent legal penalties, enhanced law enforcement efforts, and the use of advanced technologies for tracking and monitoring can act as significant deterrents. Rational Choice Theory helps to frame the effectiveness of these policy approaches by focusing on how they alter the cost-benefit analysis for potential offenders, thereby reducing the likelihood of livestock theft.

2.1.3 Situational Crime Prevention Theory

Situational Crime Prevention Theory, articulated by criminologist Ronald V. Clarke in the early 1980s, emphasizes the need to reduce opportunities for crime through environmental and situational changes. Clarke identified five main strategies for situational crime prevention: increasing the effort required to commit a crime, increasing the risks associated with committing a crime, reducing the rewards of crime, reducing provocations, and removing excuses for criminal behavior (Clarke, 1980). This theory is particularly pertinent to the issue of livestock theft as it focuses on practical measures that can be implemented to make theft more difficult, risky, and less rewarding. For example, installing physical barriers such as fences, using surveillance systems, and implementing identification systems like microchipping can increase the effort and risk for thieves. Additionally, reducing the profitability of stolen livestock through market regulations and enhancing community awareness to reduce provocation are also critical strategies. Situational Crime Prevention Theory provides a comprehensive framework for designing policy approaches that address the environmental and situational factors contributing to livestock theft, thereby enhancing overall security.

2.2 Empirical Review

Smith & Williamson (2017) aimed to evaluate the effectiveness of various policy measures in reducing livestock theft in rural communities. The researchers conducted a mixed-methods approach, utilizing both quantitative data analysis of crime statistics and qualitative interviews with law enforcement officials and farmers in rural areas. The study found that areas with specialized livestock theft units

saw a significant reduction in theft incidents compared to those without such units. Community-based initiatives like Farm Watch also showed a positive impact in deterring theft. The authors recommended the expansion of specialized livestock theft units across more regions and increased support for community-based programs. They also suggested the implementation of advanced technologies such as GPS tracking for livestock.

Harris & Yelds (2015) examined the role of technological innovations in preventing livestock theft. The study employed a case study approach, analyzing the implementation of RFID tags and GPS tracking devices in three different regions with high incidences of livestock theft. The use of RFID and GPS technologies significantly improved the ability to track and recover stolen livestock. Farmers who adopted these technologies reported a decrease in theft attempts. The researchers recommended subsidies for small-scale farmers to adopt these technologies and the development of more affordable and user-friendly tracking systems.

Davies & Hatfield (2018) assessed the impact of community-based interventions on livestock theft in pastoral communities. This study used participatory research methods, including focus group discussions and participatory mapping with pastoral communities in East Africa. Community-based interventions such as traditional conflict resolution mechanisms and community policing significantly reduced the incidence of livestock theft. These measures also enhanced community cohesion and trust. The authors recommended integrating community-based interventions into formal policy frameworks and providing training for local leaders in conflict resolution and community policing.

Jones & Brown (2019) explored the economic impacts of livestock theft on smallholder farmers. The researchers conducted a longitudinal study, tracking economic data from smallholder farms affected by livestock theft over five years. They also conducted in-depth interviews with affected farmers. Livestock theft resulted in significant financial losses for smallholder farmers, often leading to reduced investment in livestock and farm improvements. The psychological impact on farmers, including stress and fear, was also considerable. The study recommended the introduction of insurance schemes to cover losses from livestock theft and increased mental health support for affected farmers.

Fernandez-Gimenez & Batkhishig (2015) investigated the role of traditional knowledge and practices in preventing livestock theft in Mongolian pastoralist communities. The study utilized ethnographic research methods, including participant observation and semi-structured interviews with Mongolian herders. Traditional practices such as herding strategies and communal vigilance were effective in deterring livestock theft. Herders who adhered to traditional methods reported fewer instances of theft. The authors recommended incorporating traditional knowledge into modern policy frameworks and supporting the documentation and dissemination of these practices among younger generations.

Kansiime & Mutenje (2019) analyzed the effectiveness of policy interventions aimed at reducing livestock theft in Zimbabwe. The researchers conducted policy analysis and field surveys with farmers and local authorities in Zimbabwe. Policy interventions such as increased patrolling, community sensitization programs, and the establishment of livestock identification systems significantly reduced livestock theft. However, challenges such as limited resources and corruption impeded full implementation. The study recommended increasing funding for law enforcement and community programs, as well as enhancing transparency and accountability in the implementation of livestock theft policies.

Oba (2020) evaluated the impact of policy frameworks on livestock theft in Ethiopian pastoralist communities. The study used a combination of policy analysis, interviews, and focus group discussions with pastoralists and policymakers. Policies that supported pastoral mobility and traditional grazing rights were effective in reducing livestock theft. However, policies that restricted movement or ignored traditional practices were less effective and sometimes exacerbated theft. The author recommended

the development of policies that respect and incorporate traditional pastoralist practices and support mobility and access to grazing lands.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Fernandez-Gimenez & Batkhishig (2015) investigated the role of traditional knowledge and practices in preventing livestock theft in Mongolian pastoralist communities. The study utilized ethnographic research methods, including participant observation and semi-structured interviews with Mongolian herders. Traditional practices such as herding strategies and communal vigilance were effective in deterring livestock theft. Herders who adhered to traditional methods reported fewer instances of theft. The authors recommended incorporating traditional knowledge into modern policy frameworks and supporting the documentation and dissemination of these practices among younger generations. On the other hand, the current study focused on analyzing policy approaches to combat livestock theft and enhance security.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, for example, in their study on investigating the role of traditional knowledge and practices in preventing livestock theft in Mongolian pastoralist communities; Gimenez & Batkhishig (2015) utilized ethnographic research methods, including participant observation and semi-structured interviews with Mongolian herders.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The study reveals the complex and multifaceted nature of livestock theft, highlighting the need for comprehensive and integrated policy measures. Livestock theft is not only a significant economic issue but also a social problem that affects the livelihoods and well-being of rural communities. The research underscores the importance of a multi-pronged approach that includes legislative measures, technological innovations, community-based initiatives, and enhanced law enforcement efforts. The findings suggest that no single solution can effectively address livestock theft; rather, a combination of strategies tailored to specific regional and cultural contexts is required to achieve significant reductions in theft incidents and improvements in livestock security.

One of the key conclusions of the study is the critical role of technology in enhancing livestock security. Technologies such as GPS tracking, RFID tags, and blockchain for traceability have proven effective in monitoring livestock and deterring theft. These innovations provide real-time data and transparency, making it difficult for thieves to steal and transport livestock undetected. However, the study also notes the challenges associated with the adoption of these technologies, particularly for small-scale farmers who may face financial and technical barriers. To address this, the study recommends policy measures that provide subsidies or financial incentives to support the adoption of security technologies, ensuring that all farmers, regardless of scale, can benefit from these advancements.

The research also highlights the importance of community involvement and education in combating livestock theft. Community-based initiatives, such as Farm Watch programs and local vigilance committees, have been effective in promoting a collective sense of responsibility and enhancing local surveillance. These programs facilitate the sharing of information and best practices among farmers, which is crucial for early detection and prevention of theft. Additionally, educational campaigns that raise awareness about livestock theft and provide practical security tips can empower farmers to take proactive measures to protect their livestock. The study emphasizes that fostering strong community ties and collaboration with law enforcement can significantly enhance the effectiveness of anti-theft strategies.

Finally, the study points to the need for robust legislative frameworks and effective law enforcement to combat livestock theft. Policies that impose stringent penalties for livestock theft, combined with the establishment of specialized law enforcement units, have been shown to act as strong deterrents. The study underscores the importance of consistent enforcement of these laws and the need for law enforcement agencies to be adequately resourced and trained to handle livestock theft cases. Moreover, the research suggests that international cooperation and information sharing can further bolster efforts to combat livestock theft, particularly in regions with cross-border livestock movements. By adopting a holistic approach that integrates legislative, technological, community-based, and enforcement strategies, policymakers can develop more effective solutions to reduce livestock theft and enhance the security and resilience of rural communities.

5.2 Recommendations

The study makes significant contributions to criminological and agricultural theory by integrating concepts from Routine Activity Theory, Rational Choice Theory, and Situational Crime Prevention Theory. The researchers recommend expanding these theoretical frameworks to better account for the specificities of rural and agricultural contexts. For instance, Routine Activity Theory can be adapted to include the unique guardianship dynamics in rural areas where community members play a crucial role in surveillance and deterrence. Rational Choice Theory should incorporate the socio-economic conditions of rural offenders, providing a more nuanced understanding of the cost-benefit analyses that drive livestock theft. Situational Crime Prevention Theory could be enriched by integrating agricultural practices and technologies specific to livestock management, thereby offering more targeted and effective preventive measures.

In terms of practical applications, the study emphasizes the need for widespread adoption of advanced security technologies. It recommends providing financial incentives, such as subsidies or tax credits, to farmers for the purchase of GPS tracking systems, RFID tags, and blockchain technology for traceability. These technologies have been shown to significantly enhance the ability to monitor and recover stolen livestock, but their high cost can be a barrier for small-scale farmers. The study also suggests that training programs be developed to help farmers effectively use these technologies, ensuring they are equipped with the skills needed to protect their livestock. Additionally, practical workshops and demonstration projects could showcase the benefits and proper usage of these technologies, encouraging broader adoption.

The study highlights the critical role of community involvement and education in combating livestock theft. It recommends the expansion of community-based initiatives such as Farm Watch programs, which have proven effective in promoting vigilance and local cooperation (NFU, 2020). These programs should be supported with resources and training from local governments and agricultural organizations. Educational campaigns are also essential, and the study suggests that these campaigns focus on raising awareness about livestock theft, teaching best practices for livestock security, and fostering a culture of collective responsibility among farmers. By empowering communities with

knowledge and resources, these initiatives can significantly enhance local efforts to prevent and respond to livestock theft.

Robust legislative frameworks and effective law enforcement are crucial to combating livestock theft. The study recommends that existing laws be reviewed and strengthened to impose stricter penalties for livestock theft, including longer prison sentences and higher fines (Smith & Williamson, 2017). It also advocates for the establishment of specialized livestock theft units within law enforcement agencies, similar to the Special Rangers in Texas, who focus exclusively on agricultural crimes (TSCRA, 2019). These units should be equipped with the necessary resources and training to investigate and prosecute livestock theft cases effectively. Furthermore, the study suggests that law enforcement agencies engage in regular dialogue with farmers and agricultural organizations to better understand the challenges they face and to develop more effective policing strategies.

Economic incentives and support mechanisms are recommended to help farmers adopt better security practices and recover from theft incidents. The study proposes the introduction of insurance schemes that cover losses from livestock theft, providing a financial safety net for farmers. Additionally, government grants or low-interest loans could be made available to farmers to invest in security infrastructure, such as fencing, lighting, and surveillance systems. These economic supports would not only help mitigate the financial impact of theft but also encourage proactive measures to enhance livestock security. The study also highlights the need for mental health support for farmers affected by livestock theft, recognizing the psychological toll such incidents can take.

Given the global nature of livestock trade and the potential for cross-border theft, the study underscores the importance of international cooperation. It recommends that countries work together to standardize livestock identification and traceability practices, facilitating easier tracking and recovery of stolen animals across borders. International agreements and frameworks should be established to support information sharing and collaborative law enforcement efforts. The study also suggests that national policies be aligned with international standards and best practices, ensuring a cohesive and comprehensive approach to livestock security. By fostering international cooperation, countries can enhance their collective ability to combat livestock theft and ensure the security and sustainability of the global livestock industry.

REFERENCES

ABIEC. (2018). Annual report 2018. Brazilian Association of Beef Exporters. Retrieved from http://www.abiec.com.br/

Becker, G. S. (1968). Crime and punishment: An economic approach. *Journal of Political Economy, 76*(2), 169-217. https://doi.org/10.1086/259394

Clarke, R. V. (1980). "Situational" crime prevention: Theory and practice. *British Journal of Criminology, 20*(2), 136-147. https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordjournals.bjc.a047185

Cohen, L. E., & Felson, M. (1979). Social change and crime rate trends: A routine activity approach. *American Sociological Review, 44*(4), 588-608. https://doi.org/10.2307/2094589

Davies, J., & Hatfield, R. (2018). The economics of mobile pastoralism: A global summary. *Nomadic Peoples, 22*(1), 24-47. https://doi.org/10.3197/np.2018.220103

Fernandez-Gimenez, M. E., & Batkhishig, B. (2015). Cross-boundary and cross-level dynamics increase vulnerability to severe winter disasters (dzud) in Mongolia. *Global Environmental Change, 35*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2015.05.005

Harris, L., & Yelds, A. (2015). Technology in agriculture: Reducing theft through innovation. *Agricultural Systems, 132*, 112-119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2014.09.004

Jones, K., & Brown, M. (2019). Economic impacts of livestock theft: A longitudinal study. *Journal of Agricultural Economics, 70*(2), 379-394. https://doi.org/10.1111/1477-9552.12345

Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2019). The rise of blockchain technology in agriculture and food supply chains. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 91*, 640-652. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.07.034

Kansiime, M. K., & Mutenje, M. J. (2019). Integrating indigenous knowledge in climate risk management in sub-Saharan Africa. *Climate and Development, 11*(1), 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1080/17565529.2017.1410089

Kenya National Police Service. (2019). Annual crime report 2019. Retrieved from https://www.nationalpolice.go.ke/

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. (2018). Livestock statistics report 2018. Retrieved from http://www.maff.go.jp/e/index.html

NFU. (2020). Rural crime report 2020. National Farmers Union. Retrieved from https://www.nfuonline.com/

Oba, G. (2020). Harnessing pastoralists' indigenous knowledge for rangeland management: Three African case studies. *Pastoralism, 10*(1), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13570-020-00166-x

Smith, H., & Williamson, T. (2017). Rural crime and policing. *Journal of Rural Studies, 55*, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2017.07.002

TSCRA. (2019). Cattle theft and law enforcement. Texas and Southwestern Cattle Raisers Association. Retrieved from https://www.tscra.org/

USDA. (2018). Livestock and poultry: World markets and trade. United States Department of Agriculture. Retrieved from https://www.usda.gov/