International Journal of Health, Medicine and **Nursing Practice** (IJHMNP)

The Psychological Impacts of Diabetic Foot in Rural





The Psychological Impacts of Diabetic Foot in Rural Population Patients

1. Fardos Bushra Mohamed Salih

King Fahad Armed Forces Hospital

Email- salihfardos@yahoo.com

2. Sami Mustafa Jafar Ahmed

Primary health care corporation. Doha. Qatar.

Email- Samimustafa2009@hotmail.com

3. Bashir Ali Awadelgeed

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, University of Bakht Alruda, Sudan.

Email- abumkdad13@gmail.com

4. Muawia Ibrahim Abdelgadir

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Education, Bakht Er-Ruda University, Sudan

Email- elrrigeg.muawia@gmail.com

Corresponding author: Muawia Ibrahim Abdelgadir

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Education, University of Bakht Alruda, Sudan.

Email- elrrigeg.muawia@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Purpose: This study aim to evaluate various psychiatric disorders (depression, anxiety and stress) associated with diabetic foot ulcers in rural population patients.

Methodology: The study design was Cross sectional study. The sample was taken from rural area of White Nile state- Sudan in august 2022. The sample was consisted of patients with diabetic Foot (N=112), (male=59, female=53). DASS-21 was used to measure the depression, anxiety and stress.

Findings: The result observed that 44.56%, 44.32% and 49.11% of patients with diabetic foot were suffering between (Moderate to Extremely Severe) in depression, anxiety and stress respectively. The depression in female patients was significantly higher (M = 12.0, SD = 6.95) than the depression in male patients (M = 10.0, SD = 5.89), but the anxiety in female patients was significantly lower (M = 9.5, SD = 4.51) than the anxiety in male patients (M = 11.5, SD = 3.697). The stress in female patients was significantly higher (M = 12.25, SD = 4.19) than the stress in male patients (M = 10.25, SD = 4.57).



Unique contributions to theory, practice and policy: The study concluded that there was high prevalence of depression, anxiety and stress in patients with diabetic foot.

Keywords: diabetic Foot, foot ulcers, Depression, Anxiety, Stress.

Introduction

Globally about 463 million people are living with diabetes mellitus (DM) and 80% are in middle and low-income countries. ^{[1].} In 2018, the International Diabetes Federation (IDF) reported that about 4 out of 5 people aged 20– 79 live with diabetes in low and middle-income countries accounting for 5–22% of global prevalence. ^{[2].} In 2002, the World Health Organization defined the diabetic foot as an infection, ulceration, and/or destruction of deep tissues associated with neurological abnormalities and varying degrees of peripheral vascular disease in the lower limb. ^{[3].} Diabetic foot infection (DFI) is a serious complication of diabetes and a major cause of lower extremity amputation worldwide. ^{[4].} Approximately one-fourth of diabetic patients develop an ulcer during their lifetime, and about half of these ulcers become infected. ^{[5,6].} Diabetic foot problems result in high economic cost and a large national economic burden. ^{[7].}

One of the most frequent and disabling complication of diabetes is a group of condition known as diabetic limb problems. Diabetic foot problems, such as ulcers, infection, gangrene often results from peripheral neuropathy and vascular diseases.^{[8].}

The relationship between mental illness and diabetes is complicated, bidirectional and not fully understood.^{[9].} The most prevalent mental illness associated with diabetes is depression, followed closely by anxiety disorders.^{[10].} Type 2 diabetes mellitus is especially widespread among patients with schizophrenia and bipolar disorder, and partly explained by the long-term use of antipsychotic medications.^{[11].}

Diabetes is now common and major health problem in Sudan, like other developed and developing countries, high prevalence of uncontrolled diabetes (85%) is noted in Sudanese individuals with type 2 diabetes. ^{[12, 13, 14].}

Materials and Methods:

Data Collection

The questionnaire was used for the face-to-face interview of each patient, the questionnaire was pretested using a pilot survey. The questionnaire questions about patients' sociodemographic, in the first part of the questionnaire. The following part of the questionnaire was designed to collect clinical and diabetes-related evidence from the participants, such as duration of diabetes, anatomical area of foot ulcers, and history of foot ulcer, to determine differences in anxiety, stress and depression that is associated with the person who infected with diabetic foot ulcers scores with some demographic variables such as sex, age, academic status, marital status, and income. DASS-21 was used to measure the depression, anxiety and stress.



Data analysis

Descriptive statistics of frequency and percentages were used to summarize data on sociodemographic factors, diabetes information, and areas of foot ulcers. Chi-square was used to assess the psychological factors associated with diabetic foot. Statistical analysis was performed by the statistical package for social science (SPSS, version 22).

Ethics approval.

In this study involving human beings, all actions were according to the ethical standards of researches. In addition, the questionnaire was approved by all participants included in the study.

Result:-

Table 1:	Association	between	demographics	and depression	of DF patients
----------	-------------	---------	--------------	----------------	----------------

			Γ	Depression le	evel		
Variable	Category	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	P-value
	Male (59)	13(11.61)	18(16.07)	10(8.93)	8 (7.14)	4 (3.57)	
Gender	Female(53)	9 (8.03)	22(19.64)	13(11.61)	9(8.04)	6(5.36)	0.00
	Total	22 (19.64)	40 (35.71)	23(20.54)	17(15.18)	10 (8.93)	
	20-36	6 (5.36)	4(3.57)	4(3.57)	3(2.68)	1(0.89)	
Age	37-52	13(11.61)	7(6.25)	9(8.04)	4(3.57)	3(2.68)	0.005
	53-68	7(6.25)	8(7.14)	6 (5.36)	4(3.57)	1(0.89)	0.005
	>69	11(9.82)	10(8.93)	8(7.14)	1(0.89)	2(1.79)	
	Total	37(33.04)	29(25.89)	27(24.11)	12(10.71)	7(6.25)	
	Illiteracy	5(4.46)	4(3.57)	2(1.79)	1(0.89)	1(0.89)	
Education	Elementary	8(7.14)	11(9.82)	0.0(0.0)	2(1.79)	0.0(0.0)	0.00
	Secondary	12(10.71)	12(10.71)	1(0.89)	3(2.68)	2(1.79)	0.00
	High school	9(8.04)	13(11.61)	1(0.89)	0.0(0.0)	2(1.79)	

International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)



www.carijournals.org

Vol.5, Issue No.1, pp 1 – 13, 2023

	University	2(1.79)	7(6.25)	8(7.14)	5(4.46)	1(0.89)	
	Total	36 (31.14)	47(41.96)	12(10.71)	11(9.82)	6(5.36)	
Marital	Marriage	11(9.82)	12(10.71)	13(11.61)	3(2.68)	4(3.57)	
status	Single	14(12.5)	10(8.93)	8(7.14)	2(1.79)	2(1.79)	0.017
	Widows	9(8.04)	6(5.36)	9(8.04)	4(3.57)	5(4.46)	
	Total	34(30.36)	28(25.0)	30(26.79)	9(8.04)	11(9.82)	
Income	Enough	17(15.18)	18(16.07)	4(3.57)	2(1.79)	1(0.89)	
	Enough to some	13(11.61)	8(7.14)	7(6.25)	1(0.89)	3(2.68)	0.00
	Not enough	7(6.25)	11 (9.82)	10(8.93)	4(3.57)	6(5.36)	
	Total	37(33.04)	37(33.03)	21(6.25)	7(6.25)	10(8.93)	

Note. Number of *patients* = 112

 $p \le .05$



Table 2: Association	between	Clinical	characteristics	(diabetic	foot	information)	of
patients							

	depression le	vel						
Variable	Category	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	P-value	
	< 5	5 (4.46)	3(2.68)	3(2.68)	4(3.57)	2(1.79)		
diabetes (year)	6-10	15(13.39)	12(10.71)	6 (5.36)	3(2.68)	5(4.46)	0.022	
(year)	11-16	9 (8.04)	10 (8.93)	8 (7.14)	5(4.46)	3(2.68)		
	> 17	3 (2.68)	4 (3.57)	5(4.46)	4 (3.57)	3(2.68)		
	Total	32 (28.57)	29 (25.89)	22(19.64)	16(14.29)	13(11.61)		
	<u>≤</u> 4	21(6.25)	17(15.18)	11(9.82)	5(4.46)	9 (8.04)		
foot ulcer	>5	12(10.71)	8(7.14)	10(8.93)	9 (8.04)	10 (8.93)	0.005	
	Total	33 (29.46)	25(22.32)	21(18.75)	14 (12.5)	19 (16.96)		
Ulcer	Ankle	4(3.57)	6(5.36)	9(8.04)	7(6.25)	4(3.57)		
location	Mild foot	5(4.46)	5(4.46)	12(10.71)	8(7.14)	6(5.36)	0.507	
	Front foot	7(6.25)	6(5.36)	14(12.5)	9(8.04)	8(7.14)		
	Total	16 (14.29)	17(15.18)	35(31.25)	24(21.43)	18(16.07)		

Note. Number of *patients* = 112

 $p \le .05$



Variable	Anxiety level	l					
Variable	Category	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	P-value
	Male (59)	13(11.61)	15(13.39)	14(12.5)	10(8.93)	7(6.25)	
Gender	Female(53)	15(13.39)	16(14.29)	7(6.25)	9(8.04)	6(5.36)	0.00
	Total	28(25.0)	31 (27.68)	21(18.75)	19(16.97)	13(11.61)	
	20-36	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	5(4.46)	2(1.79)	2(1.79)	
	37-52	11(9.82)	9(8.04)	7(6.25)	5(4.46)	4(3.57)	0.00
Age	53-68	5(4.46)	5(4.46)	7(6.25)	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	
	>69	9(8.04)	11(9.82)	7(6.25)	4(3.57)	1(0.89)	
	Total	31 (27.68)	28(25.0)	26 (23.21)	17(15.18)	10(8.93)	
	Illiteracy	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	1(0.89)	3(2.68)	0.0 (0.00)	
E la setiera	Elementary	9(8.04)	9(8.04)	1(0.89)	1(0.89)	1(0.89)	0.00
Education	Secondary	10(8.93)	11(9.82)	3(2.68)	4(3.57)	2(1.79)	0.00
	High school	7(6.25)	10(8.93)	4(3.57)	2(1.79)	2(1.79)	
	University	4(3.57)	5(4.46)	6(5.36)	4(3.57)	4(3.57)	
	Total	36 (31.14)	38 (33.93)	15(13.39)	14(12.5)	9(8.04)	
	Marriage	8(7.14)	15(13.39)	9(8.04)	6(5.36)	5(4.46)	
Marital status	Single	12(10.71)	10(8.93)	9(8.04)	1(0.89)	4(3.57)	0.00
	Widows	10(8.93)	4(3.57)	10(8.93)	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	
	Total	30 (26.78)	29 (25.89)	28(25.0)	13(11.61)	12(10.71)	
	Enough	19(16.96)	16(14.29)	5(4.46)	4(3.57)	1(0.89)	

Table 3: Association between demographics and Anxiety of DF patients

International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)



www.carijournals.org

Vol.5, Issue No.1, pp 1 – 13, 2023

Income	Enough to some	11(9.82)	10(8.93)	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	2(1.79)	0.00
	Not enough	4(3.57)	6(5.36)	11(9.82)	8(7.14)	6(5.36)	
	Total	34 (30.35)	32 (28.58)	22 (19.64)	15(13.39)	9(8.04)	

Note. Number of *patients* = 112

 $p \le .05$

Table 4: Association between Clinical characteristics (diabetic foot information) and Anxiety of patients

	Anxiety lev	vel					
Variable	Category	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	P-value
Duration	< 5	4(3.57)	2(1.79)	5(4.46)	2(1.79)	4(3.57)	
of diabetes (year)	6-10	10(8.93)	14(12.5)	8(7.14)	5(4.46)	4(3.57)	
(year)	11-16	10(8.93)	7(6.25)	9(8.04)	7(6.25)	2(1.79)	0.008
	> 17	2(1.79)	1(0.89)	7(6.25)	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	
	Total	26(23.22)	24(21.43)	29(25.89)	20(17.86)	13(11.61)	
Duration	<u>≤</u> 4	24(21.43)	18(10.07)	6(5.36)	8(7.14)	7(6.25)	
of foot ulcer	>5	8(7.14)	10(8.93)	12(10.71)	14(12.5)	5(4.46)	0.00
	Total	32(28.57)	28(25.0)	18(10.07)	22(19.64)	12(10.71)	
Ulcer	Ankle	5(4.46)	7(6.25)	8(7.14)	5(4.46)	6(5.36)	
location	Mild foot	3(2.68)	9(8.04)	11(9.82)	10(8.93)	3(2.68)	0.00
	Front foot	11(9.82)	10(8.93)	13(11.61)	5(4.46)	6(5.36)	
	Total	19(16.96)	26(23.21)	32(28.57)	20(17.86)	15(13.40)	



Note. Number of *patients* = 112

 $p \le .05$

Table 5: Association between demographics and stress of DF patients

	Stress level						
Variable	Category	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	P-value
	Male	12(10.71)	17(15.18)	9(8.04)	7(6.25)	8(7.14)	
Gender	Female	10(8.93)	18(16.07)	11(9.82)	12(10.71)	8(7.14)	0.00
	Total	22(19.64)	35(31.25)	20(17.86)	19(16.96)	16(14.28)	
	20-36	7(6.25)	4(3.57)	3(2.68)	3(2.68)	1(0.89)	
Age	37-52	15(13.40)	8(7.14)	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	4(3.57)	0.010
	53-68	7(6.25)	6(5.36)	5(4.46)	4(3.57)	4(3.57)	0.010
	> 69	6(5.36)	9(8.04)	11(9.82)	3(2.68)	3(2.68)	
	Total	35(31.26)	27(24.11)	25(22.32)	13(11.61)	12(10.71)	
	Illiteracy	7(6.25)	2(1.79)	0.0(0.00)	1(0.89)	3(2.68)	
	Elementary	10(8.93)	7(6.25)	1(0.89)	2(1.79)	1(0.89)	0.00
Education	Secondary	8(7.14)	7(6.25)	6(5.36)	5(4.46)	4(3.57)	0.00
	High school	5(4.46)	12(10.71)	2(1.79)	3(2.68)	3(2.68)	
	University	2(1.79)	4(3.57)	8(7.14)	3(2.68)	6(5.36)	
	Total	32(28.57)	32(28.57)	17(15.18)	14(12.5)	17(15.18)	
	Marriage	6(5.36)	13(11.61)	10(8.93)	8(7.14)	6(5.36)	
Marital status	Single	14(12.5)	12(10.72)	7(6.25)	2(1.79)	1(0.89)	0.002
	Widows	7(6.25)	5(4.46)	13(11.61)	2(1.79)	6(5.36)	

International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online)



www.carijournals.org

Vol.5, Issue No.1, pp 1 – 13, 2023

	Total	27(24.11)	30(26.79)	30(26.79)	12(10.71)	13(11.61)	
	Enough	17(15.18)	20(17.86)	3(2.68)	2(1.79)	3(2.68)	
Income	Enough to some	13(11.61)	8(7.14)	4(3.57)	5(4.46)	2(1.79)	0.298
	Not enough	2(1.79)	3(2.68)	14(12.50)	9(8.04)	7(6.25)	
	Total	32(28.57)	31(27.68)	21(18.75)	16 (14.29)	12(10.71)	

Note. Number of *patients* = 112

 $p \le .05$

Table 6: Association	between	clinical	characteristics	(diabetic	foot	information)	and
stress of patients							

	Stress level	N(%)					
Variable	Category	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe	P-value
Duration	< 5	3(2.68)	4(3.57)	2(1.79)	5(4.46)	3(2.68)	
of diabetes (year)	6-10	12(10.71)	10(8.93)	9(8.04)	6(5.36)	4(3.57)	
(jear)	11-16	6(5.36)	8(7.14)	12(10.71)	4(3.57)	5(4.46)	0.230
	> 17	1(0.89)	0.0(0.00)	10(8.93)	4(3.57)	4(3.57)	
	Total	22(19.64)	22(19.64)	33(29.47)	19(16.96)	16(14.28)	
Duration	≤4	27(24.11)	15(13.40)	9(8.04)	6(5.36)	6(5.36)	
of foot ulcer	>5	5(4.46)	12(10.71)	15(13.39)	10(8.93)	7(6.25)	0.011
	Total	32(28.57)	27(24.11)	24(211.43)	16(14.29)	13(11.61)	
Ulcer	Ankle	6(5.36)	3(2.68)	9(8.04)	8(7.14)	5(4.46)	
location	Mild foot	7(6.25)	10(8.93)	7(6.25)	5(4.46)	7(6.25)	0.061
	Front foot	9(8.04)	9(8.04)	16(14.29)	8(7.14)	3(2.68)	



Total	22(19.64)	22(19.64)	32(28.58)	21(18.74)	15(13.39)	
-------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	-----------	--

Note. Number of *patients* = 112

 $p \le .05$

Table 7: Prevalence of Depression, Anxiety and Stress among Diabetes foot Patients on
DASS-21 Scale

Level	Depression N (%)	Anxiety N (%)	Stress N (%)
Normal	22 (19.64)	28 (25.0)	22 (19.64)
Mild	40 (35.71)	31 (27.68)	35 (31.25)
Moderate	23(20.54)	21 (18.75)	20 (17.86)
Severe	17(15.18)	19 (16.96)	19 (16.96)
Extremely Severe	10 (8.93)	13 (11.61)	16 (14.29)

Note. Number of *patients* = 112



Figure (1): Prevalence of psychological Impacts of Diabetic Foot in Rural population patients

Discussion

For our cross-sectional study of 112 Diabetes foot patients, we found that this sample perceived mild depression, anxiety and stress. Participants who reported more depression



were more likely to be older, single, of Secondary education. This study was agree with the study conducted by ^{[15].} who explored 260 Diabetes foot patients in a diabetic foot clinic showed more prevalent depression in Diabetes foot patients <50 years of age, which agree the present finding that patients 37-52 years felt more depression, addition to the demographic characteristics, the Diabetes foot patients face with multiple problems that may trigger or exacerbate depression, such as physical impairment, changes in roles, increased risks of recurrence, expensive treatment, diminished quality of life, prolonged hospitalization, complex medical procedures, uncertainty, and fears about mortality ^{[16,17].}

Regarding educational background, patients with Secondary school education experienced more depression. Higher educational level seems to have a protective effect against anxiety and depression, which accumulates throughout life, because individuals with a higher education level are more likely to obtain and comprehend information regarding care this result finding was similar with opinion of ^{[18].} The marriage patients with diabetes foot whom living with their family are more likely to report feelings of depression, anxiety and stress. Whom don't utilize health services infrequently, and loss family support and emotional support, and to face with several problems related to rural community behavior such as loss care.

Diabetic Foot ulcer patients are more likely to have depression, anxiety and stress compared with diabetic patients without foot complications. Depression, anxiety and stress were different medical conditions, their symptoms, causes, and treatments often overlap. Furthermore, each mental disorder (anxiety, depression and stress) in physical illness may perpetuate the other. However, there are some distinguishing features. For instance, depressed individuals move slowly, and their reactions seem flattened whereas individuals with anxiety experience racing thoughts and tend to be more keyed up. Prevalence of psychological disorders (anxiety, depression and stress) among diabetic foot patients whom have not enough income were higher than other income levels (27.68%, 27.68% and 29.46%) respectively. There was no significant differences (P=0.507) in Clinical characteristics (diabetic foot information) of patients about ulcer location that is causes the depression. But there was high depression and anxiety resulting from significant differences (P =0.000) causes by Duration of diabetes and Duration of foot ulcer also there was no significant differences (P = 0.507) in stress from patients whom had Duration of diabetes more than six years and location of Ulcer. But there was significant differences (P = 0.011) in stress in patients whom had Duration of foot ulcer less than four years.

Conclusion

Psychological consequences of diabetic foot problem may be more widespread and serious than it is thought. This study was concluded that diabetic foot illness had increased prevalence of psychiatric disorders, depression, anxiety and stress which was being more common. Anxiety and depression problems are more severe and more common in diabetes patients with foot ulcer. In our sample anxiety scores were particularly high for females. International Journal of Health, Medicine and Nursing Practice ISSN 2710-1150 (Online) Vol.5, Issue No.1, pp 1 – 13, 2023



www.carijournals.org

Given the poor outcomes in patients with depression and the availability of effective psychological interventions for patients with diabetic foot complications, this study recommended. That the educational interventions should address psychological factors that often undermine comprehension of information and increase knowledge deficits about diabetic foot care in rural communities, also the family support may give have a role in preventing serious mental illness.

Financial support and sponsorship

Sami Mustafa Jafar Ahmed, Primary Health Care Corporation. Doha. Qatar.

Email: Samimustafa2009@hotmail.com

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest

References

- Saeedi P, Salpea P, Karuranga S.(2020). Mortality attributable to diabetes in 20-79 years old adults, 2019 estimates: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th edition. Diabetes Res Clin Pract.;162:108086..
- 2. International Diabetes Federation (IDF).(2017). Clinical Practice Recommendation on the Diabetic Foot: A guide for health care professionals: International Diabetes Federation,.
- 3. Shahin E, AQalawa SA, Aly Mohamed MEI-Ata AA.(2013). Quality of life satisfaction of diabetic foot patients: comparative study. *J AmSci*.;9(1):474-483.
- 4. Sivanmaliappan TS, Sevanan M.(2014). Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns of Pseudomonas aeruginosa from diabetes patients with foot ulcers. Int J Microbiol.
- 5. Lipsky BA, Berendt AR, Deery HG, Embil JM, Joseph WS, Karchmer AW.(2004). Diagnosis and treatment of diabetic foot infections. Clin Infect Dis; 39:885-910.
- Lavery LA, Armstrong DG, Murdoch DP, Peters EJ, Lipsky BA.(2007). Validation of the Infectious Diseases Society of America's diabetic foot infection classification system. Clin Infect Dis; 44:562-565.
- 7. Charles, A Adams, Jr., M.D. and Edwin A Deitch, M.D.(2001). Diabetic foot infections, surgical treatment-NCBI Bookshelf.
- 8. Korzon-burakowska A, Dziemidok P.(2011). Diabetic foot the need for comprehensive multidisciplinary approach. Ann Agric Environ Med.;18(2):314- 317.
- 9. Britneff E, Winkley K. (2013). The role of psychological interventions for people with diabetes and mental health issues. J Diabetes Nurs.;17(8): 305-310.



- Bajwa SJ, Gagandeep K, Harbandna S, Neeru B, Pal A, Rajesh K.(2015). Psychosocial, psychiatric, and clinical implications of diabetic foot ulceration: A prospective analysis. J Soc Heal Diabetes.; 3(2):89.
- Gonzalez JS, Esbitt SA, Schneider HE, Osborne PJ, Kupperman EG. (2011). Psychological Co-Morbidities of Physical Illness: A Behavioural Medicine Perspective. (Pagoto S, ed.). New York, NY: Springer New York; 73-122.
- Elmadhoun WM, Noor SK, Ibrahim AA. (2016). Prevalence of diabetes mellitus and its risk factors in urban communities of north Sudan: Population-based study. J Diabetes; 8:839-46.
- Noor SK, Bushara SO, Sulaiman AA. (2015). Undiagnosed diabetes mellitus in rural communities in Sudan: prevalence and risk factors. East Mediterr Health J; 21:164-70.
- 14. Noor SK, Elmadhoun WM, Bushara SO. (2016). Glycaemic control in Sudanese individuals with type 2 diabetes: Population based study. Diabetes Metab Syndr.
- 15. Ahmad A, Abujbara M, Jaddou H,(2018). Anxiety and depression among adult patients with diabetic foot: prevalence and associated factors. J Clin Med Res.;10(5):411-418.
- Coffey L, Mahon C, Gallagher P. (2019) Perceptions and experiences of diabetic foot ulceration and foot care in people with diabetes: a qualitative meta-synthesis. Int Wound J.;16:183-210.
- 17. Polikandrioti M, Vasilopoulos G, Koutelekos I, (2020). Quality of life in diabetic foot ulcer: associated factors and the impact of anxiety/depression and adherence to self-care. Int J Low Extrem Wounds, Jan..
- Bjelland I, Krokstad S, Mykletum A, Dahl AA, Tell GS, Tambs K. (2008) Does a higher educational level protect against anxiety and depression? The HUNT study. Soc Sci Med.;66(6):1334-1345.