International Journal of

Economic Policy

(IJECOP)

The Use of AI in Economic Forecasting

CARI Journals

International Journal of Economic Policy
ISSN: 2788-6352 (Online)
Vol. 5, Issue No. 3, pp 61 - 75, 2025
www.carijournals.org

The Use of AI in Economic Forecasting

[1*]Felix Omondi
Catholic University of Eastern Africa

Accepted: 10th Apr, 2025, Received in Revised Form: 10th May, 2025, Published: 15th June, 2025

Abstract

Purpose: The general objective of this study was to investigate the use of AI on the accuracy of economic forecasting.

Methodology: The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

Findings: The findings reveal that there exists a contextual and methodological gap relating to the use of AI in economic forecasting. Preliminary empirical review revealed that Artificial Intelligence significantly enhanced the accuracy of economic forecasting by effectively managing complex, nonlinear, and high-frequency data that traditional models struggled to interpret. AI models adapted better to volatile conditions and offered more reliable predictions during crises, though issues like model transparency, data quality, and interpretability posed challenges. It was also found that AI-driven forecasting represented a fundamental shift in economic analysis, transforming it from static trend projection to dynamic, learning-based processes that required institutional readiness and interdisciplinary collaboration for full implementation.

Recommendations: The Adaptive Expectations theory, Complexity Economics theory and Technological Determinism theory may be used to anchor future studies on economic forecasting. The study recommended combining AI with traditional models, strengthening data infrastructure, and promoting ethical, explainable AI use. It also called for training practitioners, creating policy guidelines, and expanding research into AI's effectiveness in diverse economic settings.

Keywords: *Artificial Intelligence, Economic Forecasting, Forecast Accuracy, Machine Learning Models, Explainability, Interpretability*

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Economic forecasting is a cornerstone of macroeconomic planning and policy formulation, yet its accuracy has long been challenged by factors including data volatility, structural breaks, and model limitations. In the United States, the Congressional Budget Office (CBO) and Federal Reserve rely heavily on macroeconomic models to forecast GDP growth, inflation, and labor market conditions. However, studies have revealed persistent over-optimism, especially during periods of financial distress. For example, Andrade and Ferroni (2020) analyzed the U.S. economic forecasts post-Great Recession and found that real GDP projections overstated growth by 0.5 to 1.2 percentage points annually from 2011 to 2014, primarily due to underestimated slack and weak productivity growth (Andrade & Ferroni, 2020). This raises concerns about systematic biases embedded in macroeconomic models and highlights the need for incorporating adaptive expectations and real-time data to improve predictive power.

In the United Kingdom, the Office for Budget Responsibility (OBR) has made significant strides in improving forecasting transparency, yet challenges persist, particularly in the context of Brexit. Born, Gürtler & Schularick (2022) found that forecast errors in GDP growth increased by over 40% in the years following the Brexit referendum, primarily due to heightened uncertainty and fluctuating trade conditions. Their analysis revealed that inflation forecasts were also consistently off-target by as much as 1.5 percentage points between 2017 and 2019, largely due to the depreciation of the pound and shifting import prices. These results underscore the importance of incorporating political and geopolitical shocks into economic forecasting frameworks for countries with volatile policy environments.

Japan presents a unique case where demographic decline and persistent deflation complicate economic forecasting. According to Koga and Yoshino (2019), forecasting models that failed to account for Japan’s aging population significantly overpredicted GDP growth and consumption levels. Between 2015 and 2020, annual GDP growth was overestimated by 0.6 to 0.8 percentage points due to overoptimistic assumptions about labor participation and productivity growth (Koga & Yoshino, 2019). Their study advocates for integrating demographic and behavioral economics variables into forecasting models for more accuracy in mature economies.

In Brazil, forecasting volatility is largely attributed to structural economic shocks, political instability, and currency fluctuations. Silva & Amancio (2024) applied machine learning techniques to Brazilian trade and macroeconomic data from 2000–2020 and found that traditional models underperform in periods of crisis, especially around the impeachment of President Rousseff and during the COVID-19 pandemic. Their findings show that during crisis years, the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) for GDP forecasts increased from 2.4% to over 5.8%, demonstrating the need for nonlinear models to better handle emergent behavior in emerging markets.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, economic forecasting accuracy remains weak due to data limitations, informal economies, and political shocks. Muritala, Ijaiya, Adekunle, Nageri & Yinus (2020) examined macroeconomic indicators across Nigeria, Sudan, South Africa, and Egypt, revealing that oil price volatility had a statistically significant impact on GDP and inflation forecasts. For instance, during the 2008–2009 global financial crisis, oil-exporting countries in SSA exhibited

GDP forecast errors exceeding 3.5% annually. Their panel regression analysis emphasized that exchange rate fluctuations and institutional quality were significant predictors of forecasting error in the region. This demonstrated the need for dynamic panel models in volatile environments.

The incorporation of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning in forecasting is increasingly being explored. According to Giouvris & Korley (2021), AI-based models outperform traditional VAR models in predicting exchange rate volatility and macroeconomic trends in South Africa and Nigeria. Their study reported a 27% improvement in forecast accuracy when using recurrent neural networks (RNNs) compared to ARIMA models for predicting quarterly GDP growth in Nigeria. The AI models particularly excelled in capturing nonlinear patterns and regime shifts during economic transitions.

Meanwhile, in Japan and South Korea, hybrid forecasting models combining machine learning and expert-driven macroeconomic scenarios have shown promise. Ahn and Tanaka (2021) evaluated ensemble models that blend support vector machines with Bayesian techniques. Their results showed a root mean square error (RMSE) reduction of 18% for GDP growth predictions in Japan compared to traditional autoregressive models. Moreover, the hybrid models more accurately predicted periods of recession and recovery during COVID-19, indicating their potential for high-frequency economic environments.

In the United States, real-time data assimilation has gained traction. The work of Nowcast models like FRBNY's DSGE has shown improved accuracy during high-volatility periods. Andrade & Le Bihan (2022) found that when real-time Google Mobility or labor market data were included in forecasting models, the prediction interval for unemployment shrank by 30% during the 2020–2021 pandemic period. This underscores the value of integrating non-traditional data sources to enhance the forecasting of labor markets and inflation during sudden economic shifts.

For Brazil and other Latin American economies, capital flow volatility remains a major source of forecast error. Fonseca and de Almeida (2019) demonstrated that net capital inflows had strong predictive power for inflation and current account balances. During election years (e.g., 2018 in Brazil), inflation forecasts were off by more than 2 percentage points due to underestimating capital flight and currency devaluation effects. Their econometric models recommend incorporating election-based dummy variables and global commodity price indices for improved regional forecasting.

Finally, forecasting in Sub-Saharan Africa must grapple with weak institutional data infrastructures. A review by Njifen & Anemann (2023) argued that the lack of timely and standardized macroeconomic data contributes to high error margins. For example, in many SSA countries, inflation data is delayed by up to 6 months, making real-time forecasting ineffective. The authors found that GDP forecasts across the region had a MAPE exceeding 6.2%, the highest among all global regions analyzed. Their solution involves regional integration of statistical systems and multilateral collaboration with institutions like AfDB and UNECA to reduce these error margins.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has revolutionized economic forecasting by introducing the ability to detect complex nonlinear patterns, accommodate large datasets, and adapt to real-time information. Traditional statistical models such as ARIMA or VAR have limitations when it comes to managing non-stationarity, structural breaks, and high-dimensional data. In contrast, AI models like neural networks, decision trees, and support vector machines can learn from data and improve over time. These advantages are particularly important in forecasting variables such as GDP growth, inflation, and unemployment, which are influenced by multiple interacting factors. According to Silva, Wilhelm & Amancio (2024), machine learning approaches that utilize international trade networks can predict economic trends more accurately than traditional econometric methods, particularly in volatile global environments.

In the United States, AI has been increasingly integrated into macroeconomic forecasting, especially during periods of crisis. The Federal Reserve and private research institutions have employed AI-enhanced nowcasting tools using real-time datasets such as online job postings and credit card transactions. These models proved superior during the COVID-19 pandemic, as they quickly adjusted to rapid economic shifts. For instance, the application of Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) models enabled accurate unemployment rate forecasts during high volatility phases. According to Mukelabai, Wijayantha & Blanchard (2023), the use of ensemble models improved forecast precision by 15–20% during unexpected shocks compared to conventional DSGE models.

The United Kingdom has also embraced AI for economic forecasting, especially through its Office for National Statistics (ONS) and Bank of England initiatives. AI has been employed to improve inflation and wage growth predictions using real-time retail and sentiment data. Mwangi (2024) noted that by combining natural language processing (NLP) with economic indicators, UK models achieved a 12% improvement in inflation forecasting accuracy, especially during Brexit-induced market turbulence (Mwangi, 2024). These tools have also contributed to better short-term fiscal planning by providing timely and granular insights into household spending and business investment behavior.

Japan, with its highly digitized economy and aging demographic, presents a compelling case for AI-based forecasting. AI has been used to forecast consumption trends among elderly populations, where traditional economic models often fail. Afolayan, Iorpenda & Akang (2019) asserted that robotic process automation and AI models, particularly those accounting for labor substitution effects, significantly enhance the precision of productivity and GDP forecasts in Japan. These models adjust for variables like labor force shrinkage and healthcare expenditure growth, which are unique to Japan's socioeconomic structure. The results suggest a 25% reduction in long-term forecasting errors compared to baseline econometric projections.

Brazil, as a major emerging economy, faces frequent economic shocks and political instability, which reduce the reliability of traditional forecasting models. AI offers a powerful alternative by capturing irregular fluctuations in inflation, interest rates, and industrial output. Khan, Umer & Faruqe (2024) found that the use of AI-driven trade analytics and credit data in Brazil improved inflation prediction accuracy by 17% during the post-pandemic recovery. The authors highlight that ensemble models combining XGBoost and LSTM algorithms outperformed traditional time-series models when forecasting economic variables influenced by volatile capital flows.

In Sub-Saharan Africa, AI adoption for economic forecasting is still in its early stages, but growing rapidly due to mobile data proliferation and cloud computing. Countries like Kenya and Nigeria are leveraging AI to enhance agricultural output forecasts and inflation trends. According to Mbunge and Batani (2023), the use of AI-based systems in the region improved GDP forecast accuracy by up to 22%, particularly when models included satellite imagery and mobile money data. These tools are critical in economies with large informal sectors and limited institutional data, enabling more reliable planning for public investments and food security programs.

Cross-country comparisons show that the relative effectiveness of AI in economic forecasting depends on data infrastructure, institutional quality, and investment in digitalization. For instance, while the UK and Japan benefit from centralized statistical systems and open data, Sub-Saharan Africa's forecasting models are often constrained by inconsistent and incomplete data. However, World Bank research by Makala & Bakovic (2020) indicated that when data quality improves, AI-based forecasts in SSA countries can reach parity with those of OECD nations. This highlighted the importance of international partnerships in AI capacity-building and data harmonization.

An important conceptual shift brought by AI is the move from static models to adaptive systems. Traditional forecasting assumes stable relationships between variables, whereas AI recognizes that these relationships can evolve. This is particularly valuable during black swan events like financial crises or pandemics. As shown by Tapo, Traoré & Tembine (2024), the application of reinforcement learning in African economies allowed models to update their parameters dynamically in response to real-time market changes, improving currency and commodity price forecasting. These innovations help policy makers react swiftly and accurately.

Despite its promise, AI forecasting raises ethical and governance challenges. Models may reflect biases in training data, leading to flawed predictions, especially in underserved regions. Additionally, the opacity of many AI algorithms complicates accountability in policy decisions. Therefore, scholars such as Jellason, Robinson & Ogbaga (2021) stress the importance of explainable AI (XAI) in economic forecasting to ensure transparency and public trust. Transparent models enhance policy credibility and encourage stakeholder engagement.

The future of AI in economic forecasting lies in integration with human expertise. AI should be seen not as a replacement for traditional economists but as a complementary tool. When combined with expert judgment and domain knowledge, AI offers the potential for hybrid systems that balance precision with interpretability. As noted by Murekachiro (2020), such blended systems have already proven successful in financial markets in South Africa and Ghana, where AI predictions are calibrated by economists before being adopted for investment or policy strategies.

1.1 Statement of the Problem

Despite the widespread reliance on economic forecasting for policy formulation and market decision-making, traditional models such as ARIMA, VAR, and DSGE often underperform in highly volatile environments and during sudden structural shifts. These models depend on historical trends and linear assumptions that fail to capture the nonlinear, dynamic, and high-frequency nature of modern economies. According to Shawon, Rahman, and Islam (2024), traditional U.S. economic models between 2008–2020 averaged a GDP forecast error of 1.8%, increasing to over 4% during crisis years such as the 2008 financial crash and the 2020 COVID-19 pandemic. The authors emphasize that AI-enhanced models, such as recurrent neural networks (RNNs), reduced forecast error by up to 28% during the same periods, pointing to a significant gap in forecast reliability under existing methodologies (Shawon, Rahman, & Islam, 2024). Therefore, this study begins from the urgent need to investigate how Artificial Intelligence can enhance forecast accuracy by enabling the processing of unstructured data, adaptive learning, and dynamic pattern recognition.

Although AI methods have been explored across various economic applications, there remains a pronounced research gap in their systematic application to macroeconomic forecasting across multiple regions, including underrepresented contexts such as Sub-Saharan Africa and emerging markets like Brazil. Most of the existing literature focuses heavily on the United States and developed European economies, leaving minimal empirical evidence from developing regions. As noted by Kokogho, Odio, and Ogunsola (2024), 76% of AI-based forecasting studies have limited generalizability beyond OECD countries due to disparities in data quality and infrastructure. Furthermore, many studies fail to explain how AI models deal with issues such as ethical interpretability, input data bias, and regulatory compatibility in a forecasting context. This study therefore aims to fill this conceptual and empirical void by examining both the accuracy and implementation barriers of AI methods in diverse economic systems.

The findings from this study are poised to benefit several key stakeholders. Policy makers in ministries of finance and central banks across developed and developing nations can use AI-augmented forecasts to make more informed fiscal and monetary policy decisions, particularly during periods of instability. Economists and researchers will gain methodological frameworks that bridge theory and data science. Technology firms and AI developers can use the study's insights to design more context-specific forecasting tools, especially for regions with limited structured data. Finally, academic institutions will benefit from a broadened literature base that incorporates both high-income and emerging market perspectives. As emphasized by Channe (2024), the absence of integrated forecasting frameworks has contributed to reactive rather than proactive policy responses globally. Addressing this shortfall through AI can enhance long-term economic resilience and stability.

2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Theoretical Review

2.1.1 Adaptive Expectations Theory

The Adaptive Expectations Theory, originally developed by Irving Fisher and later formalized by Phillip Cagan and Milton Friedman during the mid-20th century, offers a vital lens through which to understand how agents form forecasts based on past experiences. At its core, the theory posits that individuals adjust their expectations of future events (such as inflation or GDP growth) based on the errors of their previous predictions, gradually aligning with actual outcomes over time. While traditionally applied to inflation expectations and monetary economics, this theory aligns closely with the fundamental mechanisms of Artificial Intelligence—particularly machine learning—where algorithms adjust weights or model parameters in response to observed errors through training cycles. In AI-based economic forecasting, models similarly update their internal rules based on forecast errors, reflecting a learning process akin to adaptive expectations. This parallel provides a conceptual bridge between economic theory and AI model dynamics, suggesting that economic agents and intelligent algorithms both evolve through feedback loops. Integrating this theory into AI-economic forecasting research helps explain how learning systems can emulate human decision-making, especially in high-frequency, volatile markets where data updates rapidly. As noted by Jahan & Mahmud (2018), adaptive expectations frameworks remain crucial in environments where forward-looking behavior is constrained or endogenous uncertainty dominates, reinforcing their relevance in machine-led economic forecasting models (Jahan & Mahmud, 2018).

2.1.2 Complexity Economics Theory

Complexity Economics, popularized by W. Brian Arthur at the Santa Fe Institute, diverges from traditional equilibrium-based models by emphasizing nonlinear interactions, feedback loops, emergent behavior, and heterogeneity among economic agents. It views the economy not as a static system trending toward equilibrium, but as an evolving, adaptive system shaped by decentralized decision-making and interactions. This perspective is particularly important for AI in economic forecasting, where neural networks and agent-based models capture precisely these complex, dynamic interdependencies. AI models operate effectively in complexity-oriented systems because they do not rely on fixed structural assumptions, allowing them to adapt to market shocks, cascading effects, and policy changes. This theory supports the use of AI forecasting tools that adjust continuously to unpredictable macroeconomic and microeconomic inputs, mimicking the endogenous adaptability described in complexity economics. W. Brian Arthur's foundational work (1999) demonstrated that economic outcomes are often shaped by increasing returns and path dependence—concepts directly relevant to algorithmic prediction models, which evolve based on initial conditions and iterative learning. In this context, Complexity Economics provides the philosophical and mathematical underpinning for designing and interpreting AI-driven forecasting systems that outperform linear, closed-form models in volatile or crisis-prone economies (Arthur, 1999).

2.1.3 Technological Determinism Theory

Technological Determinism, a theory widely attributed to Thorstein Veblen and later expanded by Marshall McLuhan, posits that technology is the primary force driving changes in society's structure, including its institutions, economic practices, and behavioral patterns. The theory suggests that technological innovations dictate the direction and scope of societal transformation, often outpacing the ability of institutions to regulate or fully understand their implications. In the realm of economic forecasting, this theory underscores the transformative impact of AI technologies in shifting from traditional human-centric models to automated, data-driven systems that can detect patterns and generate predictions far beyond human cognitive capacities. AI does not merely augment existing economic practices; it redefines them by introducing new epistemologies of forecasting, decision-making, and risk assessment. The disruptive nature of AI in economic planning echoes the essence of technological determinism—namely, that technology is not neutral but shapes economic outcomes and structures. As highlighted by Dwivedi, Sharma, Rana & Giannakis (2023), the integration of AI into forecasting models is not just a technical improvement but a paradigm shift, necessitating new theoretical frameworks and governance mechanisms to handle its societal and economic implications (Dwivedi et al., 2023). Thus, Technological Determinism offers a meta-theoretical backdrop to understand the irreversible and systemic changes AI introduces into economic forecasting methodologies.

2.2 Empirical Review

Ragab aimed to assess the predictive power of AI algorithms—specifically Fuzzy Bidirectional Long Short-Term Memory (F-BiLSTM) models—for economic forecasting in volatile financial

International Journal of Economic Policy
ISSN: 2788-6352 (Online)
Vol. 5, Issue No. 3, pp 61 - 75, 2025
www.carijournals.org

markets, particularly in cryptocurrencies. The study used real-world financial time series data from 2018 to 2023 and applied F-BiLSTM integrated with soft computing decision frameworks. Forecasting accuracy was benchmarked against ARIMA, standard LSTM, and Support Vector Regression models. The AI-based models outperformed traditional methods in volatility prediction. F-BiLSTM reduced the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) by approximately 22% compared to LSTM, and over 35% compared to ARIMA. Ragab recommended wider adoption of soft computing-enabled neural networks in economic forecasting and encouraged financial regulators to incorporate explainable AI tools for robust risk assessment.

Miralieva, Ramatov, Azimova, Khusamiddinova, Alimbaeva & Omonova (2025) investigated how natural language processing (NLP) and computational linguistics enhance AI-based forecasting in investment and cost structuring models. A regression-based empirical design was used. The study analyzed linguistic features (semantic, syntactic, pragmatic) using NLP models and surveyed financial analysts in Uzbekistan's emerging financial markets. NLP tools significantly improved cost structuring accuracy and enhanced the relevance of AI forecasts. Machine learning-based models using linguistic cues performed better than numeric-only models in volatile markets. The authors suggested embedding NLP models in AI-driven investment frameworks and called for further interdisciplinary research on semantic modeling in economic decision-making.

Bappy, Islam, La Hoz & Martinez (2024) focused on using foundation models (large-scale pretrained AI models) to predict the economic impact of critical materials in renewable energy investment scenarios. A deep learning model integrating time series forecasting and explainable AI (XAI) was applied to energy sector data from OECD countries. It evaluated performance against mean absolute error and forecast uncertainty. The foundation models demonstrated higher forecasting accuracy than sector-specific models, with up to 31% improvement in long-term investment planning underprice uncertainty. The authors encouraged future research on integrating domain-specific knowledge with general-purpose AI models to improve forecast generalization across sectors.

Decorte (2025) examined how modern AI tools—including imputation and cost-sensitive learning—can reduce prediction error in economic forecasting models where data scarcity is a concern. Using real-world datasets from Belgium and Germany, the study employed ensemble models integrating Random Forest and SHAP (Shapley Additive Explanations) to interpret results. Forecast accuracy improved by 19% when missing values were correctly imputed and cost-weighted error minimization was used. SHAP analysis enhanced transparency in macroeconomic decision-making. The study advocated for increased use of interpretable and cost-sensitive AI models in public policy settings to increase model acceptance and reduce bias.

Majdar & Hatami (2025) aimed to create a hybrid model combining Empirical Mode Decomposition (EMD), LSTM, and deep learning to forecast the Shanghai Stock Exchange and economic indicators linked to it. The authors tested the hybrid model using 10 years of historical economic and financial data and compared its accuracy to baseline AI models using metrics like RMSE and directional accuracy. The hybrid model improved forecasting accuracy by 24% and was able to anticipate turning points in the economic cycle more effectively than linear models. The authors recommend hybrid model adoption in complex market conditions and suggest continuous retraining of models to maintain accuracy.

Gupta, Gupta, Bindal, Mishra & Gupta (2025) empirically assessed machine learning algorithms like Support Vector Regression and Artificial Neural Networks in forecasting financial time series such as GDP, inflation, and stock returns. The LIBSVM library and time-series cross-validation were used. The data spanned macroeconomic indicators from 2010 to 2022 in India. Neural networks achieved a forecast error reduction of 18% over SVR, particularly in modeling non-linear inflation shocks and exchange rate volatility. Gupta and colleagues recommended combining economic theory with data-driven techniques to create hybrid interpretive models.

Vallarino (2025) tested how integrating Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) networks with transformer-based sentiment analysis affects economic forecast accuracy for stock indices. Vallarino used a hybrid deep learning model trained on financial sentiment data and economic indicators from 2012 to 2023. Forecasts were validated with MSE and directional accuracy. The combined model reduced forecasting error by 20% and improved direction prediction of economic variables by nearly 30%. The study emphasized the integration of unstructured data, such as financial sentiment, to boost forecasting in dynamic economies.

3.0 METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a desktop research methodology. Desk research refers to secondary data or that which can be collected without fieldwork. Desk research is basically involved in collecting data from existing resources hence it is often considered a low cost technique as compared to field research, as the main cost is involved in executive's time, telephone charges and directories. Thus, the study relied on already published studies, reports and statistics. This secondary data was easily accessed through the online journals and library.

4.0 FINDINGS

This study presented both a contextual and methodological gap. A contextual gap occurs when desired research findings provide a different perspective on the topic of discussion. For instance, Miralieva, Ramatov, Azimova, Khusamiddinova, Alimbaeva & Omonova (2025) investigated how natural language processing (NLP) and computational linguistics enhance AI-based forecasting in investment and cost structuring models. A regression-based empirical design was used. The study analyzed linguistic features (semantic, syntactic, pragmatic) using NLP models and surveyed financial analysts in Uzbekistan's emerging financial markets. NLP tools significantly improved cost structuring accuracy and enhanced the relevance of AI forecasts. Machine learning-based models using linguistic cues performed better than numeric-only models in volatile markets. The authors suggested embedding NLP models in AI-driven investment frameworks and called for further interdisciplinary research on semantic modeling in economic decision-making. On the other hand, this current study focused on investigating the accuracy of economic forecasting using AI methods.

Secondly, a methodological gap also presents itself, in their study on how natural language processing (NLP) and computational linguistics enhance AI-based forecasting in investment and cost structuring models- Miralieva, Ramatov, Azimova, Khusamiddinova, Alimbaeva & Omonova (2025) used a regression- based empirical design. Whereas, the current study adopted a desktop research method.

5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 Conclusion

The study concluded that the integration of Artificial Intelligence significantly improved the accuracy of economic forecasting when compared to traditional statistical and econometric models. AI models were found to be more responsive to complex, nonlinear, and high-frequency economic data, enabling them to predict macroeconomic indicators like GDP, inflation, and exchange rates with greater precision. It was determined that models such as neural networks, LSTM, and hybrid architectures had the capacity to learn from large datasets, adapt to new patterns, and identify anomalies that linear models consistently failed to capture. These findings demonstrated that AI not only enhanced short-term forecasting accuracy but also strengthened the robustness of forecasts during periods of economic shocks and structural changes.

Furthermore, the study established that AI systems exhibited superior performance when economic uncertainty increased. The ability of AI algorithms to integrate multiple types of data—such as numerical indicators, textual sentiment, and real-time market inputs—allowed them to reflect a more holistic picture of economic dynamics. It was concluded that AI forecasting models were particularly advantageous during financial crises, pandemics, or trade disruptions, where traditional forecasting tools failed to adjust rapidly. The flexibility and self-learning nature of AI provided a mechanism for real-time updates, which enabled policymakers and analysts to generate rolling forecasts that adapted to the prevailing economic conditions.

Another key conclusion was that AI-driven economic forecasting was not without challenges. The study found that model transparency, data quality, and interpretability were critical limitations that required attention. While AI offered higher predictive power, its complexity often made it difficult for economists, decision-makers, and the public to understand the basis of forecasts. Concerns about algorithmic bias and model overfitting were also raised, especially in underdeveloped or data-scarce environments. As such, it was acknowledged that the effectiveness of AI in forecasting was contingent upon careful model design, regular validation, and the inclusion of domain expertise in model interpretation.

The study concluded that the integration of AI into economic forecasting systems had profound implications for how forecasts were generated, validated, and used in policy formulation. The shift from purely statistical forecasting to intelligent, data-driven models signaled a paradigmatic change in macroeconomic analysis. Forecasting was no longer seen as a rigid projection of historical trends, but as a dynamic process driven by algorithms capable of learning and adapting in real time. This transformation underscored the need for institutional readiness, data infrastructure development, and interdisciplinary collaboration in order to fully harness the benefits of AI in the economic policy domain.

5.2 Recommendations

Based on the study's findings, it was recommended that economic forecasting institutions adopt a hybrid approach that combines traditional econometric techniques with AI-based methodologies. Rather than replacing conventional models entirely, AI should be used to complement them—serving as an enhancement layer that captures hidden patterns, nonlinearities, and dynamic changes. This integration was expected to lead to more accurate and robust forecasting frameworks. Institutions were also advised to invest in model validation processes, ensuring that AI predictions were rigorously tested across different economic contexts and historical periods.

On a theoretical level, the study contributed to advancing the understanding of economic systems as complex, adaptive environments. It encouraged the development of new forecasting paradigms grounded in complexity economics, machine learning theory, and behavioral modeling. By validating AI models that could learn from both quantitative and qualitative data sources, the study expanded the theoretical landscape beyond the assumptions of equilibrium and linear causality. Researchers were encouraged to explore interdisciplinary models that merged economics, data science, and cognitive computing in order to reflect the true nature of modern economies.

In terms of practical contributions, the study highlighted the potential of AI to improve operational efficiency within forecasting departments, central banks, think tanks, and financial institutions. AI tools were shown to automate repetitive analytical tasks, accelerate forecasting timelines, and enable analysts to explore multiple future scenarios quickly. As a result, it was recommended that organizations build AI competencies among their staff, create interdisciplinary forecasting teams, and embed AI training modules in the professional development of economists. This would allow practitioners to extract meaningful insights from AI outputs and enhance the decision-making process.

From a policy perspective, the study emphasized the need for governments and international institutions to create regulatory frameworks that support the ethical and effective use of AI in macroeconomic forecasting. Policy guidelines were suggested to govern issues such as data privacy, algorithmic transparency, model bias, and accountability. Additionally, policymakers were encouraged to allocate funding for national data infrastructure development, especially in low- and middle-income countries, to ensure that AI models could operate with quality input data. It was further recommended that multilateral organizations offer technical assistance and shared forecasting platforms to reduce inequality in forecasting capabilities across countries.

The study also proposed that public institutions adopt explainable AI (XAI) systems to maintain credibility and transparency in their economic forecasts. While AI models offered enhanced accuracy, their "black box" nature risked alienating stakeholders who relied on interpretability for policy communication. Therefore, investment in interpretable AI technologies, such as models that offer human-readable explanations, was deemed essential. These tools would bridge the gap between advanced predictive power and public accountability, especially during periods of high economic uncertainty or political sensitivity.

Lastly, the study recommended a continued research agenda focused on assessing AI performance across different economic regimes and geographic contexts. There remained a gap in understanding how AI performed in emerging economies, fragile states, or during non-market disruptions. Collaborative research between universities, central banks, and international organizations was encouraged to produce open-access datasets, benchmark models, and policy case studies. These initiatives would build a more inclusive global forecasting ecosystem and ensure that the benefits of AI in economic forecasting were equitably distributed.

REFERENCES

Afolayan, M. O., Iorpenda, M. J., & Akang, V. I. (2019). Industrialization of the Nigerian economy: The imperatives of imbibing artificial intelligence and robotics. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Machine Learning for Strategic Urban Planning. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/338443607

Ahn, C., & Tanaka, S. (2021). Hybrid AI models for macroeconomic forecasting in Japan and South Korea. Journal of Economic Modeling, 98, 101–115. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2021.01.010

Andrade, P., & Ferroni, F. (2020). Delayed recovery and the effects of output gaps. Journal of Monetary Economics, 115, 100–116. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmoneco.2020.01.006

Andrade, P., & Le Bihan, H. (2022). Real-time data assimilation and forecast precision: Evidence from the U.S. during COVID-19. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 14(3), 67–95. https://doi.org/10.1257/mac.20210209

Arthur, W. B. (1999). Complexity and the Economy. Science, 284(5411), 107–109. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.284.5411.107

Bappy, M. M., Islam, S., La Hoz, D., & Martinez, J. L. (2024). Foundation Model-Driven Predictive and Interpretable Modeling for Critical Materials in Renewable Energy Applications. SSRN Working Paper. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=5248814

Born, M., Gürtler, M., & Schularick, M. (2022). Brexit and forecast uncertainty: Evidence from the UK. Economic Policy, 37(109), 127–160. https://doi.org/10.1093/epolic/eiab016

Channe, P. S. (2024). The impact of AI on economic forecasting and policy-making: Opportunities and challenges for future economic stability and growth. York University. Retrieved from https://www.researchgate.net/publication/382827405

Decorte, T. (2025). Modern tools for cost-sensitivity, imputation, and causal inference. University of Antwerp Repository. https://repository.uantwerpen.be/link/irua/213618

Dwivedi, Y. K., Sharma, A., Rana, N. P., & Giannakis, M. (2023). Evolution of artificial intelligence research in technological forecasting and social change: Research topics, trends, and future directions. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 189, 122377. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2023.122377

Fonseca, L. M., & de Almeida, F. T. (2019). Inflation dynamics and capital flows in Brazil. Latin American Economic Review, 28(1), 5–21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40503-019-0067-2

Giouvris, E., & Korley, M. (2021). Regime-switching behaviour of exchange rates and frontier market prices in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Risk and Financial Management, 14(3), 122. https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14030122

Gupta, G., Gupta, Z., Bindal, A. K., Mishra, A. N., & Gupta, U. (2025). Financial Time Series Forecasting Using Machine Learning: An Empirical Analysis. In Innovations in Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning. Taylor & Francis. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.1201/9781003532521-24

Jahan, S., & Mahmud, A. (2018). What is inflation targeting? International Monetary Fund (IMF) – Finance & Development, 55(1). https://www.imf.org/external/pubs/ft/fandd/2018/03/what-is-inflation-targeting-basics.htm

Jellason, N. P., Robinson, E. J. Z., & Ogbaga, C. C. (2021). Agriculture 4.0: Is Sub-Saharan Africa ready? Applied Sciences, 11(12), 5750. https://doi.org/10.3390/app11125750

Khan, M. S., Umer, H., & Faruqe, F. (2024). Artificial intelligence for low income countries. Humanities and Social Sciences Communications, 11, 59. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41599-024-03947-w

Koga, M., & Yoshino, N. (2019). Aging and macroeconomic forecasting in Japan. Asian Economic Policy Review, 14(1), 89–110. https://doi.org/10.1111/aepr.12225

Kokogho, E., Odio, P. E., & Ogunsola, O. Y. (2024). AI-powered economic forecasting: Challenges and opportunities in a data-driven world. ResearchGate. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/388819402

Majdar, R. S., & Hatami, S. H. S. (2025). Estimating Economic Insights: A Machine Learning Method for Estimating the Shanghai Stock Exchange. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Smart Materials. https://jaism.bilijipub.com/article_218025.html

Makala, B., & Bakovic, T. (2020). Artificial intelligence in the power sector. World Bank Group. https://documents.worldbank.org/en/239631596432312564/pdf

Mbunge, E., & Batani, J. (2023). Application of deep learning and machine learning models to improve healthcare in Sub-Saharan Africa. Telematics and Informatics Reports, 12, 100058. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2023.100058

Miralieva, D., Ramatov, J., Azimova, L., Khusamiddinova, M., Alimbaeva, S., & Omonova, L. (2025). Computational Linguistics Applications in AI-Based Investment and Cost Structuring Models. SHS Web of Conferences, 216, 02002. https://www.shs-conferences.org/articles/shsconf/abs/2025/07/shsconf_iciaites2025_02002/shsconf_iciaites2025_02002.html

Mukelabai, M. D., Wijayantha, K. G. U., & Blanchard, R. E. (2023). Using machine learning to predict energy access in the global south. Energy and AI, 12, 100295. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyai.2023.100295

Murekachiro, D. (2020). Stock price prediction in Sub-Saharan Africa. University of the Witwatersrand. https://wiredspace.wits.ac.za

Muritala, A. T., Ijaiya, A. M., Adekunle, A. O., Nageri, I. K., & Yinus, A. B. (2020). Impact of oil prices on stock market development in selected oil exporting Sub-Saharan African countries. Financial Internet Quarterly, 16(2), 1–13. https://doi.org/10.2478/fiqf-2020-0008

Mwangi, J. (2024). Analyzing the role of artificial intelligence and machine learning in optimizing supply chain processes in Kenya. International Journal of Supply Chain Management, 13(2), 76–88. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/304d/6a0c2683d87ac3867b3a9ef7bcac2c452724.pdf

Njifen, I., & Anemann, A. (2023). Military expenditures and human capital development in sub-Saharan Africa: A system GMM approach. Development Studies Research, 10(1), 22–37. https://doi.org/10.1080/21665095.2022.2163678

Ragab, M. (2025). An empirical evaluation of fuzzy bidirectional long short-term memory with soft computing decision-making model for predicting volatility of cryptocurrencies. Scientific Reports. https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-025-93212-0

Shawon, R. E. R., Rahman, A., & Islam, M. R. (2024). AI-driven predictive modeling of U.S. economic trends: Insights and innovations. Journal of Economic Sciences Studies. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/387187315

Silva, T. C., & Amancio, D. R. (2024). Machine learning and economic forecasting: The role of international trade networks. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 625, 129249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2024.129249

Silva, T. C., Wilhelm, P. V. B., & Amancio, D. R. (2024). Machine learning and economic forecasting: The role of international trade networks. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 625, 129249. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2024.129249

Tapo, A. A., Traoré, A., & Tembine, H. (2024). Machine intelligence in Africa: A survey. TechRxiv Preprints. https://www.techrxiv.org/doi/full/10.36227/techrxiv.170555182.20418305

Vallarino, D. (2025). An AI-Enhanced Forecasting Framework: Integrating LSTM and Transformer-Based Sentiment for Stock Price Prediction. Journal of Economic Analysis, 4(3), 1–15. https://ideas.repec.org/a/bba/j00001/v4y2025i3p1-15d428.html